

Towards Inclusive Education: A Conceptual Model for Curriculum Management in Inclusive Schools

Didi Kriswanto*, Suyatno, Sukirman

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: didikriswanto1999@gmail.com

<http://doi.org/10.33650/ijess.v3i2.8993>

Received: July 2024, Revised: November 2024, Accepted: December 2024

Abstract:

Providing access to learning in inclusive schools for children with special needs in Indonesia is still concerning. The implementation of the curriculum in inclusive schools has not been able to accommodate all Children with Special Needs. This study aimed to find a conceptual model of curriculum management as an alternative and effective approach to implementing inclusive schools. This study was conducted in one of the elementary schools in Yogyakarta using qualitative methods and a case study approach. Data collection was carried out through observation, interviews, and documentation. Triangulation of sources, techniques, and time was used to test the validity of the data to test the validity of the data. Data analysis includes collection, reduction, presentation, and verification/conclusions. The study results indicate that inclusive curriculum management has been implemented and structured through the stages of planning, organizing, implementing, and evaluating/assessing, considering all aspects involved in the process. The results of this study can be used as a guideline for implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools. However, further research is needed related to the effectiveness of implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools and a more in-depth study of the obstacles and challenges in implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools.

Key Words: Access to Learning, Inclusive Schools, Children with Special Needs

Please cite this article in APA style as:

Kriswanto, D., Suyatno, Sukirman. (2024). Towards Inclusive Education: A Conceptual Model for Curriculum Management in Inclusive Schools. *Indonesian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 3(2), 99-110.

INTRODUCTION

Fulfilment of access to education in inclusive schools for students with special needs in Indonesia is still a pressing issue. Several challenges that hinder its implementation include the lack of socialization regarding inclusive education practices and resistance from peers in regular classes (Kilinc, 2022; Moura & Fontes, 2023; Shevlin & Banks, 2021), who consider the presence of students with special needs to slow down the learning process (Khamzina et al., 2021; Kriswanto & Hasanah, 2021; Kriswanto & Mahmudah, 2021; Suyudi et al., 2022). Finding access to education also has several obstacles, such as the lack of special assistant teachers (Andrews et al., 2021; Li & Ruppard, 2021), low teacher competence (Breyer et al., 2021; Paulsrud & Nilholm, 2023), and lack of

understanding of special needs education (Andrews et al., 2021; Cook & Ogden, 2022; Dababneh & Zboon, 2022; Taneja-Johansson et al., 2023). Other obstacles are inappropriate teacher qualifications, financial constraints, and ineffective parental communication (Cao et al., 2023; Coviello & DeMatthews, 2021; Ravet & Mtika, 2024). These problems highlight the need for a stronger strategy to support inclusive education.

Inclusive schools are designed to serve all children without discrimination, highly dependent on the effectiveness of their curriculum (Budnyk et al., 2022; Byrne, 2022; Coviello & DeMatthews, 2021). The curriculum in these schools must accommodate the diverse abilities and needs of students with special needs, which requires regular evaluation and modification (Efendi et al., 2022; Strogilos et al., 2023). However, research shows that the curriculum in many inclusive schools often fails to meet these requirements effectively. Although the curriculum plays an important role in inclusive education, its implementation is often less than optimal in achieving the expected learning outcomes (Humaira et al., 2021; Lambrecht et al., 2022; Weiss et al., 2021). This gap requires focused efforts to bridge the gap between inclusive curriculum principles and classroom practices.

Previous research has highlighted the challenges of implementing an inclusive curriculum. Many schools lack the resources or expertise to modify their curriculum to suit the needs of students with special needs (Ruppar et al., 2023), while others struggle with low teacher competency (Crispel & Kasperski, 2021; Roberts & Webster, 2022), insufficient funding, and inadequate parental support (Madondo, 2021; Nursaniah, 2023). These limitations highlight the need for a comprehensive approach to inclusive curriculum management that effectively integrates the planning, organizing, implementing, and evaluating processes (Breyer et al., 2021; Moura & Fontes, 2023). Furthermore, successful implementation often requires collaboration between educators, special tutors, and parents, emphasizing the importance of community involvement in achieving inclusive education goals.

The implementation of inclusive schools is certainly one alternative to achieving equitable education, although it faces various challenges, such as one school in Yogyakarta. One stands out as a leading city in Indonesia for its inclusive education initiative. With its designation as an Inclusive City and recognition by UNESCO, Yogyakarta has made significant strides in accommodating students with special needs in public schools (Arlinwibowo, 2021; Somad et al., 2024). SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta is a model of effective curriculum management in an inclusive school, which shows success in providing special services for students with special needs, such as individual education programs, regular assessments, and collaborative partnerships with stakeholders. This success provides an opportunity to learn best practices in inclusive curriculum management.

This study aims to develop a conceptual model of curriculum management as an effective alternative to implementing inclusive education at

SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta. This study seeks to identify solutions and transformations of inclusive education by analyzing the curriculum management practices of SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta to bridge the gap in inclusive education in Indonesia. These findings are expected to provide insights and practical strategies to improve the effectiveness of curriculum management in inclusive schools, ultimately improving access and educational outcomes for students with special needs.

RESEARCH METHOD

Qualitative research aims to understand the phenomena that occur holistically (Williams, 2021), using a case study approach to find out the situation and conditions that occur directly. A case study approach was conducted to gain an in-depth understanding of implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools. This study aims to find a conceptual model of curriculum management as an effective alternative approach to implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools. This research was implemented at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta, located in Jl. Tegalturi No 45, Giwangan, Umbulharjo, Yogyakarta, D.I. Yogyakarta. Observation, interviews, and documentation are methods of data collection.

Three research instruments were used: the interview guideline, the observation guideline, and the documentation guideline. The subjects in this study were determined using purposive sampling techniques. To avoid generalizing the findings, it is necessary to determine the criteria for research subjects, namely the parties involved in implementing curriculum management at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta, which includes school principals (P1), curriculum development team coordinators (P2), and teachers (classroom teachers (P3) and special supervising teachers (P4)). Triangulation tests were carried out on sources, techniques, and time to test the validity of the data. Data analysis activities include data collection, data reduction, data presentation, and verification/conclusion.




Figure 1. Data Analysis Techniques

Figure 1, the activity starts with data collection, namely recording all phenomena findings in the field through interviews, observation, and documentation. This data reduction process is carried out to summarize and focus on data related to the research theme, as well as removing data that is not needed. After data reduction, the next step is presenting the data by classifying it according to the subject matter in charts and brief descriptions. The final step is drawing conclusions based on the data obtained during the research activities.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

Planning of Inclusive Curriculum

Curriculum planning is a guideline that contains instructions for implementing learning to achieve the expected goals. Curriculum planning is the process of preparing educational goals and educational standards to achieve goals. The inclusive curriculum planning process at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta begins with PPDB (New Student Admissions) by opening two registration pathways, namely the regular pathway and the disability pathway, which accepts all forms of disabilities. The PPDB disability pathway is carried out to identify and assess students' needs and abilities, which will be used to determine academic service programs for students with special needs in the classroom. As stated by P3, "The school opens two student admission pathways, namely the regular pathway and the disability pathway, which will later become the basis for determining special service programs for students with special needs."

This is relevant to the research results from the interview, which state that there are two types of inclusive school PPDB systems: regular and disability pathway systems. The PPDB process must accommodate all children through an initial assessment process in the form of physical/motor development assessments, language and speech development assessments, social-emotional development assessments, cognitive development assessments, and sensory and perceptual development assessments. Examination results from general practitioners or specialist doctors. As stated by P1 who said that; "So far, we can still accept all forms of children's disabilities. We do not discriminate here as long as we can handle it."

The PPDB quota every new school year; ideally, schools receive a maximum of 4 students with Special Needs. The initial assessment process for students with Special Needs greatly determines the success of inclusive education. The initial assessment was carried out during PPDB for students with special needs based on academic, psychomotor, and self-development aspects. As stated by P4, "Students who register through the disability pathway must bring proof of assessment results from a hospital or psychiatrist showing that their child has a disability. In addition, an independent assessment is carried out by a special assistant teacher to identify whether the child's behaviour is included as a special needs student or not."

Then proceed with the curriculum preparation process, as stated by P1, who explained: "The process of preparing the curriculum includes the socialization process, forming a curriculum development team, mapping the program, preparing a curriculum draft that is adapted to the guidelines from the education office and adjusted to school needs, reviewing and revising the curriculum and getting a recommendation from the supervisor for approval of the curriculum by the Education Office."

The curriculum development team created a learning program by mapping learning targets, then socialized and conducted counselling. In curriculum planning, you must follow curriculum guidelines tailored to school needs and map out school programs to achieve short-term and long-term goals. Developing an inclusive curriculum aims to equalize students with Special Needs with regular children.

Organizing an Inclusive Curriculum

The organizing process is an activity related to details and division of tasks to facilitate the implementation of learning activities so that learning objectives can be achieved effectively and efficiently. The process of organizing an inclusive curriculum at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta, as stated by P2, is that; "The organizing process includes the formation of a curriculum implementation team, curriculum mapping, education and socialization related to curriculum integration, modification of the RPP, and finally the assignment of special assistant teachers to accompany students with special needs."

Furthermore, P3 said, "Organizing the curriculum uses the curriculum integration method, by mapping in the preparation of curriculum modifications, especially on teaching tools for students with special needs." Curriculum integration in the classroom combines the national Curriculum and modified Curriculum for students with special needs. Classroom curriculum integration is done by class teachers who collaborate with special assistant teachers. In curriculum integration, class teachers and special assistant teachers together develop learning models and learning media, as well as map learning materials that are adjusted to the needs and abilities of students.

This is relevant to several research results. The placement of grouped subjects can recognize an Integrated Curriculum, and the material's content is integrated between subjects. The Integrated Curriculum is realized by creating a learning process that combines three domains: the emotional, cognitive, and psychomotor domains. In addition, teachers receive guidance, direction, and training related to integrating learning, classroom management, and students with Special Needs services without neglecting regular students. The lesson plan for students with special needs learning differs from that for regular students; learning tools are prepared based on the 2013 curriculum. Special teacher plays an important role in assisting students with special needs. Special teachers modify lesson plans according to the needs and characteristics of students.

Implementation of Inclusive Curriculum

Implementing an inclusive curriculum at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta uses the Full Inclusion method; students with special needs are combined in regular classes with other children. As stated by P1, "Implementation activities include curriculum integration activities in learning activities, the use of modified RP for learning for students with special needs, collaboration between class teachers and special assistant teachers in developing learning materials and teaching materials. Furthermore, a special service program for students with special needs is carried out flexibly. The school and the Disability Service Unit carry out periodic assessment activities."

Integrating learning in inclusive schools must accommodate all needs according to the abilities of each student with special needs. This includes special education services such as therapy assistance and ongoing learning consultations. As conveyed by P3, "Collaboration between class teachers and special assistant teachers is carried out by dividing roles and responsibilities. Special assistant teachers identify students with special needs, class teachers modify the lesson plans, and then both work together to compile materials, teaching resources, and integrate learning in the classroom." This collaborative approach ensures that the unique needs of each student are addressed effectively.

In addition to Collaboration in designing and developing learning materials, teaching resources, and lesson plans, it is crucial to utilize adaptive learning media tailored to the specific needs and challenges of students with special needs. Adaptive learning media, such as visual aids, tactile tools, and assistive technologies, can significantly enhance the learning experience by making educational content more accessible and engaging. For instance, students with visual impairments may benefit from braille materials or audio-based resources, while those with hearing impairments may require sign language interpreters or captioning tools. Inclusive schools can create a more equitable and supportive learning environment that empowers all students to reach their full potential by incorporating these adaptive strategies.

Assessment of Inclusive Curriculum

Assessment collects data and processes information to measure academic and non-academic student learning outcomes. The assessment results are used as evaluation material for the student's learning process. The assessment process for students with special needs at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta, as stated by P4, includes, "The assessment process is carried out by modifying the assessment instrument to suit the abilities of each special needs student, modifying the questions, including daily test questions, mid-semester assessments and end-of-semester assessments, then for the recapitulation of scores between regular students and special needs students are equalized. Meanwhile, special needs students with severe special needs are not included in the formal exam."

The assessment of learning outcomes for students with special needs requires modification of the assessment instrument, the form of the questions, the level of difficulty, the number of questions, and the time for working on the questions according to the types of needs and learning obstacles for students with special needs. Meanwhile, the evaluation of students with special needs and regular students is the same, involving the evaluation of knowledge, attitudes, and skills. It is just that the scores obtained by students with special needs have different meanings from regular students; for example, a score of 80 with special needs will be considered better than the value of 80 regular children. Therefore, students with special needs will later receive a special certificate different from a regular child's certificate.

Discussion

Based on the data analysis process that has been carried out, the inclusive curriculum management model at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta is divided into 4, namely planning, organizing, implementing, and evaluating. Various indicators can be observed in the 4 stages of the curriculum management model. In general, the 4 stages of the curriculum management model at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Model of Inclusive Curriculum Management in Elementary Schools

The inclusive curriculum planning process at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta places great emphasis on identifying the needs of students with special needs through the special PPDB pathway for disabilities. This process allows schools to conduct initial assessments to understand students' specific needs, which become the basis for compiling academic service programs. This is in line with several similar studies which state that the development of an inclusive curriculum must

begin with identifying learning barriers and developing strategies to overcome them (Efendi et al., 2022; Humaira et al., 2021; Sanjani, 2024) in order to support the educational success of all students.

At the stage of organizing an inclusive curriculum, SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta integrates the national curriculum with modifications tailored for students with special needs. Collaboration between class teachers and special assistant teachers is key to designing relevant teaching materials and learning media. The emphasis on collaboration supports the findings of Breyer et al. (2021), which show that a collaborative approach to inclusive teaching increases the effectiveness of learning because it optimizes the strengths of various parties and accommodates the needs of students from various backgrounds.

The Full Inclusion method implemented by SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta allows students with special needs to learn together in regular classes. This approach reinforces the principles of equality and inclusivity in education, as several studies have shown that true inclusion does not only involve students with special needs in regular classes but also ensures that they receive services that support full participation and learning success (Kriswanto & Mahmudah, 2021; Sanjani et al., 2024; Suyudi et al., 2022). Activities such as learning consultations and therapy support student success (Breyer et al., 2021; Faiz et al., 2023).

This school's inclusive curriculum assessment process is carried out by modifying the assessment instrument according to the abilities of students with special needs. This reflects the importance of a differentiation approach in assessment. Budnyk et al. (2022) suggested that differentiation assessment allows a more accurate measurement of learning outcomes according to individual student needs. In addition, different treatment in the assessment of students with special needs reflects an effort to respect the diversity of abilities and give appreciation to individual progress, which supports the concept of inclusivity in education (Khotimah et al., 2024; Li & Ruppap, 2021; Ridlo & Yanti, 2023; Zaini, 2023).

The findings support the idea that inclusive education is not only about policy but also concrete practices that integrate special services for students with special needs into the regular education system so that it can be a reference in formulating broader inclusive education policies. The research findings are expected to significantly enrich the discourse on implementing inclusive education at the elementary school level, especially in the context of public schools in Indonesia.

CONCLUSION

Based on the explanation above, inclusive curriculum management at SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta has been structured through planning, organizing, implementing, evaluating/assessing, and considering all aspects involved in the implementation process. The results of this study can be used as a guideline for

implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools. However, further research is needed to determine the effectiveness of implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools. I will also conduct a more in-depth study on the obstacles and challenges in implementing curriculum management in inclusive schools.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research can be carried out well thanks to the help of various parties. For that, the researcher would like to thank the principal and teachers of SDN Giwangan Yogyakarta who have facilitated this research activity. The kindness of all parties who contributed can be rewarded with a better reward.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, D., Walton, E., & Osman, R. (2021). Constraints to the Implementation of Inclusive Teaching: A Cultural Historical Activity Theory Approach. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(13), 1508-1523. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1620880>
- Arlinwibowo, J., Retnawati, H., Kartowagiran, B., & Mustaqim, Y. (2021). Inclusion Schools in the Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta Province, Indonesia: Regulations, Facilities and Aspirations of Teachers. *International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education*, 13(1), 9-19. <https://doi.org/10.9756/INT-JECSE/V13I1.211002>
- Breyer, C., Lederer, J., & Gasteiger-Klicpera, B. (2021). Learning and Support Assistants in Inclusive Education: A Transnational Analysis of Assistance Services in Europe. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 36(3), 344-357. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2020.1754546>
- Budnyk, O., Rembierz, M., Arbeláez-Encarnación, T. F., Rojas-Bahamón, M. J., Arbeláez-Campillo, D. F., Chinchoy, A., & Matveieva, N. (2022). Formation of Tolerance in the Inclusive Environment of an Educational Institution. *Amazonia Investiga*, 11(56), 305-319. <https://doi.org/10.34069/AI/2022.56.08.29>
- Byrne, B. (2022). How Inclusive Is the Right to Inclusive Education? An Assessment of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities' Concluding Observations. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 26(3), 301-318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1651411>
- Cao, X., Ji, S., & Liu, X. (2023). Educational Inequity and Skill Formation Differences Experienced by Floating Rural Students in the Process of Urbanization: A Case Study from a School Perspective. *Education Sciences*, 13(2), 131. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13020131>

- Cook, A., & Ogden, J. (2022). Challenges, Strategies and Self-Efficacy of Teachers Supporting Autistic Pupils in Contrasting School Settings: A Qualitative Study. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 37(3), 371-385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2021.1878659>
- Coviello, J., & DeMatthews, D. E. (2021). Failure Is Not Final: Principals' Perspectives on Creating Inclusive Schools for Students with Disabilities. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 59(4), 514-531. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-08-2020-0170>
- Crispel, O., & Kasperski, R. (2021). The Impact of Teacher Training in Special Education on the Implementation of Inclusion in Mainstream Classrooms. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(9), 1079-1090. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1600590>
- Dababneh, K. A. Al, & Zboon, E. K. Al. (2022). Using Assistive Technologies in the Curriculum of Children with Specific Learning Disabilities Served in Inclusion Settings: Teachers' Beliefs and Professionalism. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 17(1), 23-33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2020.1752824>
- Efendi, M., Pradipta, R. F., Dewantoro, D. A., Ummah, U. S., Ediyanto, E., & Yasin, M. H. M. (2022). Inclusive Education for Students with Special Needs at Indonesian Public Schools. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(2), 967-980. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.15253a>
- Faiz, H., Al-Amin, M. F., Mundiri, A., & Fahmi, A. (2023). Transforming Organizational Quality Through Effective Administrative Training. *Communautaire: Journal of Community Service*, 2(2), 157-167. <https://doi.org/10.61987/communautaire.v2i2.352>
- Humaira, M. A., Rachmadtullah, R., Sesrita, A., Laeli, S., Muhdiyati, I., & Firmansyah, W. (2021). Teacher Perceptions of University Mentoring Programs Planning for Inclusive Elementary Schools: A Case Study in Indonesia. *International Journal of Special Education*, 36(2), 53-65. <https://doi.org/10.52291/ijse.2021.36.18>
- Khamzina, K., Jury, M., Ducreux, E., & Desombre, C. (2021). The Conflict Between Inclusive Education and the Selection Function of Schools in the Minds of French Teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 106(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103454>
- Khotimah, H., Manshur, U., Zaini, A. W., & Suhermanto, S. (2024). Increasing the Competence of Islamic Religious Education Teachers from a Madrasah-Based Management Perspective. *Managere: Indonesian Journal of Educational Management*, 6(1), 13-26. <https://doi.org/10.52627/managere.v6i1.388>
- Kilinc, S. (2022). Mothers of Children Without Disabilities' Conceptions of Inclusive Education: Unveiling an Exclusionary Education System Privileging Normality and Ableism. *Disability & Society*, 37(10), 1678-1702. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2021.1888281>

- Kriswanto, D., & Hasanah, E. (2021). The Principal's Role in Improving Teacher Competence. *Al-Tanzim: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, 5(3), 1-13.
- Kriswanto, D., & Mahmudah, F. N. (2021). Parents' Perceptions in Implementation of Inclusive Education in Elementary School. *International Journal of Education Humanities and Social Science*, 4(5), 294-299.
- Lambrecht, J., Lenkeit, J., Hartmann, A., Ehlert, A., Knigge, M., & Spörer, N. (2022). The Effect of School Leadership on Implementing Inclusive Education: How Transformational and Instructional Leadership Practices Affect Individualised Education Planning. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 26(9), 943-957. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1752825>
- Li, L., & Ruppert, A. (2021). Conceptualizing Teacher Agency for Inclusive Education: A Systematic and International Review. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 44(1), 42-59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406420926976>
- Madondo, F. (2021). Perceptions on Curriculum Implementation: A Case for Rural Zimbabwean Early Childhood Development Teachers as Agents of Change. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 35(3), 399-416. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02568543.2020.1731024>
- Moura, A., & Fontes, F. (2023). Disabling Experiences and Inclusive School: Reframing the Debate in Portugal. *Journal of Education Policy*, 38(6), 1007-1023. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2023.2178675>
- Nursaniah, S. S. J. (2023). Analysis of Science Education Curriculum for Students with Special Needs in Special Schools: The Curriculum of 2013. *Indonesian Journal of Community and Special Needs Education*, 3(2), 113-130.
- Paulsrud, D., & Nilholm, C. (2023). Teaching for Inclusion: A Review of Research on the Cooperation Between Regular Teachers and Special Educators in the Work with Students in Need of Special Support. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 27(4), 541-555. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1846799>
- Ravet, J., & Mtika, P. (2024). Educational Inclusion in Resource-Constrained Contexts: A Study of Rural Primary Schools in Cambodia. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 28(1), 16-37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2021.1916104>
- Ridlo, M. H., & Yanti, L. S. (2023). Investigating the Holistic Management in Increasing Graduates' Competence in Madrasa Based on Pesantren. *PEDAGOGIK: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 10(2), 226-239. <https://doi.org/10.33650/pjp.v10i2.7170>
- Roberts, J., & Webster, A. (2022). Including Students with Autism in Schools: A Whole School Approach to Improve Outcomes for Students with Autism. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 26(7), 701-718. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1712622>

- Ruppar, A., Kurth, J., Bubash, S., & Lockman Turner, E. (2023). A Framework for Preparing to Teach Students with Extensive Support Needs in the 21st Century. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 46(1), 26–43. <https://doi.org/10.1177/088840642111059853>
- Sanjani, M. A. F. (2024). The Impact of School Principals on Graduate Quality Through Character Education Initiatives. *Journal of Educational Management Research*, 3(1), 30–46. <https://doi.org/10.61987/jemr.v3i1.347>
- Sanjani, M. A. F., Islamiah, R., & Maulidiah, L. (2024). Building Strong Foundations: Educational Management's Contribution to Character Education and Graduate Quality Enhancement. *Ar-Rosikhun: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, 3(3), 244–257. <https://doi.org/10.18860/rosikhun.v3i3.26503>
- Shevlin, M., & Banks, J. (2021). Inclusion at a Crossroads: Dismantling Ireland's System of Special Education. *Education Sciences*, 11(4), 161. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11040161>
- Somad, A., Haryanto, S., & Darsinah, D. (2024). Inclusive Education for Special Needs Students in Indonesia: A Review of Policies, Practices and Challenges. *JMKSP (Jurnal Manajemen, Kepemimpinan, dan Supervisi Pendidikan)*, 9(2), 1024–1035. <https://doi.org/10.31851/jmksp.v9i2.16192>
- Strogilos, V., Lim, L., & Buhari, N. (2023). Differentiated Instruction for Students with SEN in Mainstream Classrooms: Contextual Features and Types of Curriculum Modifications. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 43(3), 850–866. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2021.1984873>
- Suyudi, M., Suyatno, & Hariyati, N. (2022). The Effect of Instructional Leadership and Creative Teaching on Student Actualization: Student Satisfaction as a Mediator Variable. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(1), 113–134. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.1517a>
- Taneja-Johansson, S., Singal, N., & Samson, M. (2023). Education of Children with Disabilities in Rural Indian Government Schools: A Long Road to Inclusion. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 70(5), 735–750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2021.1917525>
- Weiss, S., Muckenthaler, M., Heimlich, U., Kuechler, A., & Kiel, E. (2021). Teaching in Inclusive Schools: Do the Demands of Inclusive Schools Cause Stress? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(5), 588–604. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1563834>
- Williams, H. (2021). The Meaning of "Phenomenology": Qualitative and Philosophical Phenomenological Research Methods. *Qualitative Report*, 26(2), 366–385. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2021.4587>
- Zaini, A. W. (2023). Improving Islamic Religious Education Teachers' Performance Through Effective School Leadership. *AFKARINA: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam*, 8(1), 12–24. <https://doi.org/10.33650/afkarina.v8i1.5331>