Integration of Local Wisdom and Digital Innovation: A Strategic Management Model for Islamic Higher Education Institutions in Developing Countries

Shinta Nento[1], Abdul Haris Abdullah[2]

[1]Islamic Educational Management Department, Institut Agama Islam Negeri Manado, North Sulawesi, Indonesia
[2]Islamic Educational Management Department, Institut Agama Islam Negeri Sultan Amai Gorontalo, Gorontalo, Indonesia
Email: shintanento17@gmail.com[1], abdulharis120176@gmail.com[2]

Abstract:

Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) in developing countries face a dual challenge of maintaining cultural identity while pursuing digital transformation. Although digital innovation has been widely studied as a driver of efficiency and competitiveness, limited empirical research explores how local wisdom functions as a strategic enabler in higher education management. This study addresses this gap by proposing a hybrid strategic management model that integrates local wisdom, digital innovation, and strategic leadership. Using a comparative case study of Indonesia, Malaysia, and Egypt, data were collected from secondary sources, including policy documents, institutional reports, and peer-reviewed literature, and analysed through thematic content analysis with cross-case coding. Findings reveal that local wisdom provides legitimacy and cultural grounding, while digital innovation strengthens adaptability and efficiency; strategic leadership integrates both dimensions into sustainable institutional transformation. Theoretically, this study contributes to value-based strategic management literature by challenging the modernity–tradition dichotomy in non-Western contexts. Practically, it offers actionable insights for policymakers and administrators to design strategies that balance technological adoption with the preservation of institutional identity.

Keywords: *Strategic Management, Local Wisdom, Digital Innovation, Strategic Leadership*

Abstrak:

Perguruan Tinggi Keagamaan Islam (PTKI) di negara berkembang menghadapi tantangan ganda, yakni mempertahankan identitas budaya sekaligus melakukan transformasi digital. Meskipun inovasi digital banyak dikaji sebagai pendorong efisiensi dan daya saing, masih terbatas riset empiris yang mengeksplorasi bagaimana kearifan lokal berfungsi sebagai penggerak strategis dalam manajemen pendidikan tinggi. Penelitian ini menjawab kesenjangan tersebut dengan mengusulkan model manajemen strategis hibrid yang mengintegrasikan kearifan lokal, inovasi digital, dan kepemimpinan strategis. Dengan pendekatan studi kasus komparatif di Indonesia, Malaysia, dan Mesir, data dikumpulkan dari sumber sekunder berupa dokumen kebijakan, laporan institusional, dan literatur akademik terpilih, kemudian dianalisis menggunakan analisis isi tematik dengan pengkodean lintas kasus. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa kearifan lokal memberikan legitimasi dan pijakan budaya, inovasi digital memperkuat adaptabilitas dan efisiensi, sementara kepemimpinan strategis

mengintegrasikan keduanya dalam transformasi kelembagaan yang berkelanjutan. Secara teoretis, penelitian ini berkontribusi pada pengembangan literatur manajemen strategis berbasis nilai dengan menantang dikotomi modernitas–tradisi dalam konteks non-Barat. Secara praktis, penelitian ini memberikan rekomendasi bagi pembuat kebijakan dan pengelola PTKI untuk merancang strategi yang mampu menyeimbangkan adopsi teknologi dengan pelestarian identitas institusional.

Kata Kunci: *Manajemen Strategis, Kearifan Lokal, Inovasi Digital, Kepemimpinan Strategis*

INTRODUCTION

The landscape of higher education in the era of globalization is increasingly shaped by technological disruption, academic mobility, and complex demands for public accountability. Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) are required not only to adopt digital transformation but also to safeguard the foundational values that constitute their institutional identity. The key challenge lies in striking a balance between modernity and deeply rooted local traditions.

In many developing countries, higher education strategies often fall into a dichotomy: whether to fully embrace technological modernization or remain grounded in local traditions and cultural values. This tension frequently creates challenges in policy design and institutional practice. Thus, an integrative approach that harmonizes both perspectives is crucial for achieving inclusive and adaptive institutional transformation.

Recent theoretical debates suggest that sustainable institutional transformation requires the combination of technological innovation and social legitimacy derived from local wisdom (Hart & Rodgers, 2024; Hayward, 2020). Institutional competitiveness, therefore, depends not only on digital sophistication but also on embedding local values within strategic management. This integration ensures that transformation processes remain efficient while rooted in cultural identity.

Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) in developing countries face a dual challenge: preserving cultural identity while adapting to digital transformation. This situation requires management strategies that integrate local values with technological innovation to ensure relevance and competitiveness.

Local wisdom—encompassing socio-cultural practices such as deliberation, cooperation, and Islamic spirituality—serves as a source of social legitimacy and institutional identity. Conversely, digital innovation enables governance efficiency, expanded access, and enhanced global competitiveness. Their integration is vital for building a sustainable and contextually grounded management model.

Recent scholarship emphasizes that digitalization is not merely a technical matter but must align with local values and cultural norms (Timotheou et al., 2023). Meanwhile, culturally rooted approaches highlight the role of collective values in shaping managerial decisions (Oplatka & Arar, 2016). However, studies integrating both perspectives within IHEIs' strategic management remain limited.

Previous research has often focused on technology for academic efficiency (Islam, 2013; Saba, 2012) or leadership rooted in cultural traditions (Quantz et al., 2017), but rarely examined their interaction systematically. This fragmented approach fails to explain how cultural dynamics and digital infrastructure can reinforce each other in higher education management.

Within IHEIs, integrating local wisdom and digital innovation is critical for addressing globalization, technological disruption, and demands for accountability. Strategies focusing solely on technology risk undermine social legitimacy, while those relying only on tradition may lose global competitiveness. A hybrid management model is therefore required.

Strategic leadership plays a central role in bridging tradition and modernity. Leaders of IHEIs are not merely administrative managers but also cultural actors who interpret local values and guide digital innovation adaptively (Yaghi, 2017). Leadership thus becomes the catalyst for sustainable institutional transformation.

Indonesia, Malaysia, and Egypt were selected as case studies due to their strong Islamic educational traditions and the pressing demands for digital transformation. Each context demonstrates distinct integration patterns, providing comparative insights into strategic management models grounded in local contexts. This approach not only strengthens the competitiveness of IHEIs but also enriches the higher education management literature from a non-Western perspective. Integrating local wisdom and digital innovation offers an alternative to the modernity–tradition dichotomy that dominates higher education reform discourse.

Accordingly, this study aims to develop a hybrid strategic management model that emphasizes the synergy of local wisdom, digital innovation, and strategic leadership. The model is expected to provide both a theoretical framework and practical guidance for IHEIs to build adaptive competitiveness rooted in cultural identity and responsive to global dynamics.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach with a comparative case study design, utilizing secondary data. Indonesia, Malaysia, and Egypt were selected due to their diverse socio-cultural contexts, Islamic higher education systems, and varying levels of digital adoption. These cases provide cross-national insights for developing a locally grounded strategic management model.

Data were collected from academic publications published within the last five years, official policy documents, and institutional reports. Sources were purposively selected based on publisher credibility, citation levels, and direct relevance to local wisdom and digital innovation. Representativeness was ensured by including diverse document types, while thematic saturation was reached when no new categories emerged after repeated analysis.

Data analysis employed thematic content analysis involving initial coding, cross-case categorization, and validation through source triangulation (Roberts et al., 2019). Validity was further strengthened by cross-checking with relevant international literature. The conceptual framework positions local wisdom as

institutional identity, digital innovation as an adaptive response, and strategic leadership as the catalyst for integrating both dimensions to enhance sustainable competitiveness in Islamic higher education.

To strengthen methodological rigor, the study employed a systematic coding protocol to ensure transparency in identifying key themes. Each researcher independently reviewed the selected documents before engaging in collaborative discussions to reconcile differences and refine the thematic categories. This iterative process enhanced reliability and minimized potential bias in interpreting the data.

Furthermore, by employing a comparative design across three distinct national contexts, the methodology allows for both within-case depth and cross-case generalization. This dual strategy not only highlights country-specific variations but also identifies broader patterns that contribute to the formulation of a hybrid strategic management model relevant for Islamic higher education in developing countries.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Result

This section presents the main findings derived from the comparative analysis of Indonesia, Egypt, and Malaysia. The results highlight the interaction between local wisdom and digital innovation within each country's higher education management practices, with a particular focus on organizational factors, leadership dynamics, and institutional autonomy. These findings provide the empirical foundation for subsequent discussion and theoretical interpretation.

The Integration of Local Wisdom in Strategic Management

Analysis of policy documents, institutional reports, and scholarly literature from Indonesia, Malaysia, and Egypt reveals that local wisdom values remain key elements in the strategic management of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs). In Indonesia, pesantren principles such as deliberative consensus, simplicity, and collectivism continue to inform leadership patterns in State Islamic Higher Education Institutions (PTKIN), albeit within formal bureaucratic structures. Malaysia institutionalizes Malay-Islamic values as the foundation for strategic formulation, evident in the Islam Hadhari approach adopted by the International Islamic University Malaysia (UIAM) in its strategic documents. Meanwhile, Egypt's Al-Azhar maintains a management orientation rooted in traditional scholarly legitimacy and ulama authority, emphasizing social stability as part of its institutional vision. These three contexts demonstrate that local values operate not merely as symbolic identity markers but actively shape strategic policy directions, particularly in responding to social changes and global pressures.

Conversely, the integration of local wisdom varies in its degree of formalization and depth of implementation. Findings from Indonesia reveal that local value integration operates more implicitly through informal institutional practices. Malaysia demonstrates a more structured approach, where cultural values are explicitly transformed into policy frameworks, including strategic planning and institutional accreditation. In Egypt, local values are deeply internalized in institutional traditions but not always formally articulated in

strategic documents. Despite these varying approaches, all three countries demonstrate that local wisdom remains a vital operational element in strategic decision-making. This pattern suggests that Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) in developing countries often rely on cultural legitimacy to strengthen institutional structures and guide transformational directions.

Table 1. The Integration of Local Wisdom in Strategic Management

Country	Forms of Local Wisdom	Integration Patterns	Challenges	Special Notes
Indonesia	Pesantren values: deliberative consensus, service to community, and collective responsibility	Non-formal implementation through social relational practices and informal decision-making processes	Tensions with modern bureaucracy	Strengthening internal cultural cohesion
Malaysia	Malay-Islamic Sultanate Tradition	Formalized and structured in institutional strategic documents and planning frameworks	Tends toward symbolic formalization without operational mainstreaming	Value stability during system reform
Mesir	Al-Azhar tradition, ulama authority, and maqāṣid al-sharīʿah (higher objectives of Islamic law)	Internalized in institutional culture	Resistance to performative management approaches	Value resilience to external disruption

Source: Adapted and synthesized from multiple country case studies (Indonesia, Malaysia, Egypt) by the author, 2025.

Analysis of policy documents, institutional reports, and scholarly literature from Indonesia, Malaysia, and Egypt reveals that local wisdom values remain key elements in the strategic management of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs). In Indonesia, pesantren principles such as deliberative consensus, modesty, and collectivism are reflected in the leadership patterns of State Islamic Higher Education Institutions (PTKIN). Malaysia institutionalizes Malay-Islamic values in the strategic documents of the International Islamic University Malaysia (UIAM), while Egypt's Al-Azhar maintains ulama authority as its managerial foundation.

Digital Innovation as a Driver of Institutional Transformation

Analysis of strategic documents and institutional reports from the three countries reveals that digital innovation has become a critical component in transforming Islamic higher education management. In Malaysia, the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) has developed a structured digital transformation framework that integrates Islamic Hadhari principles with Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based platforms and integrated information systems. The IIUM Digitalization Blueprint emphasizes enhanced administrative efficiency, learning flexibility, and global access expansion, while maintaining the integrity

of Islamic values in its technological designs. In Indonesia, State Islamic Higher Education Institutions (PTKIN) under the Ministry of Religious Affairs have adopted digital approaches by developing Integrated Academic Information Systems and sharia-compliant e-learning platforms; however, implementation varies across institutions. Meanwhile, Egypt's Al-Azhar demonstrates a more selective and gradual approach to technological adoption, focusing on digitizing fiqh and tafsir curricula and launching online portals for Islamic studies that are rooted in traditional ulama authority.

Furthermore, UNESCO and World Bank reports indicate that developing countries generally face challenges in implementing equitable digital transformation across higher education sectors. In Egypt, key constraints include digital access disparities, technological infrastructure limitations, and cultural resistance to digital instruments perceived as diminishing traditional scholarly engagement. Indonesia faces challenges due to the imbalance between central policies and technical capacities at the institutional level, particularly in remote regions. Malaysia demonstrates relatively more stable digitalization implementation, supported by coordinated national policies and more established infrastructure. Nevertheless, all three countries share a common strategic direction whereby digital innovation is no longer positioned merely as a technical tool, but increasingly as a core strategy for modernizing governance structures and expanding access to Islamic higher education.

Table 2. Digital Innovation as a Driver of Transformation

Country	Digitalization Strategy	Platform/Instrument	Policy Support	Major Obstacles
Indonesia	Academic Information System (SIAKAD), sharia e-learning	Academic Information System (SIAKAD), Sharia-based Learning Management System (LMS)	There is (Ministry of Religion), but implementation is not yet even	The gap between institutions and limited Human Resources (HR)
Malaysia	Blueprint for the transformation of Universiti Islam Internasional Malaysia (UIAM)	Integrasi AI, SSO, e-library, MyHE	Nationally coordinated	The challenge of maintaining value while driving efficiency
Mesir	Al-Azhar digital portal, digitalization of fiqh	Digitization of traditional curriculum	Limited support from the state, institution-based	Infrastructure inequality and epistemic prudence

Source: Processed from secondary data on digital-based higher education policies in Muslim countries, 2025

Institutional reports indicate that digital innovation serves as a critical instrument in transforming the governance of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs). In Malaysia, the International Islamic University Malaysia (UIAM) has implemented a digitalization blueprint grounded in progressive Islamic values. Indonesia's State Islamic higher education institutions (PTKIN) have developed an Academic Information System (SIAKAD) and sharia-compliant e-learning platforms. Meanwhile, Egypt's Al-Azhar adopts a cautious approach to digitalization through curated online portals. These three countries

demonstrate a strategic orientation toward digitalization as a vehicle for institutional modernization, despite facing readiness gaps and disparities.

Strategic Leadership as an Enabler of Adaptability

Secondary data analysis reveals that strategic leadership plays a significant role in determining the successful integration of local wisdom and digital innovation in Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) across the three countries. In Indonesia, leadership practices at State Islamic Higher Education Institutions (PTKIN) are characterized by a blend of bureaucratic approaches and pesantren values emphasizing communality, simplicity, and hierarchy based on scholarly seniority and age. The leadership model here is generally collective and participatory, yet it faces challenges in making rapid decisions amid digital changes that require institutional agility. In Malaysia, institutions such as the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) exhibit more structured and visionary leadership. University leaders actively align institutional missions with national and global agendas, integrating Islamic principles with digital technologies and national higher education policies. Meanwhile, in Egypt, particularly at Al-Azhar, leadership remains centralized and grounded in the scholarly authority of senior ulama. This model prioritizes stability and the continuity of traditional values in decision-making processes.

These three leadership models demonstrate distinct response patterns to external environmental pressures. In Indonesia, leadership dynamics are heavily influenced by local socio-political contexts and central government regulations. Leaders of State Islamic higher education institutions (PTKIN) frequently occupy strategic positions that bridge institutional cultural interests with state bureaucratic policies. Malaysia demonstrates stronger strategic leadership in guiding institutions toward international performance indicators while upholding local value foundations. The proactive roles of rectors and deans in driving innovation, global collaboration, and long-term planning are particularly prominent. Meanwhile, in Egypt, despite slower progress in digital transformation, Al-Azhar's institutional leadership demonstrates acute sensitivity to moral legitimacy and the continuity of scholarly tradition, taking cautious yet consistent steps to maintain institutional adaptability. This pattern reveals how leadership forms and styles critically determine an institution's adaptive capacity in the face of contemporary changes.

Table 3. Strategic Leadership as a Catalyst for Institutional Adaptability

Country	Leadership Model	Main Role	Uniqueness	Challenge
Indonesia	Collective, based on Islamic boarding school values	Bridging local values and national policies	Flexible, socio-religious	Less strategically structured
Malaysia	Visionary, strategic, structured	Unifying a vision of progressive Islam and global standards	Leading the direction of value-based transformation	The challenge of long-term transformative leadership

| Mesir | Authoritative based on scientific tradition | Guarding stability, conserving Al-Azhar values | The legitimacy of science is very strong | Slow in digital adaptive transformation |

Strategic leadership plays a pivotal role in managing the tensions between local values and the demands of modernization. In Indonesia, leadership operates collectively through cultural approaches; in Malaysia, it functions in a visionary and structural manner; while in Egypt, it grounds itself in moral authority and scholarly traditions. All three demonstrate distinct yet contextually relevant adaptive strategies.

Local Wisdom, Technology, and Leadership in Hybrid Models

Cross-country data analysis reveals that integrating local wisdom, digital innovation, and strategic leadership yields hybrid strategic management models—systems that blend traditional value orientations with the adaptive needs of digital transformation. In Indonesia, State Islamic Higher Education Institutions (PTKIN) exhibit distinctive hybridization patterns: pesantren values remain foundational for informal decision-making. At the same time, administrative and academic digitization systems gain adoption through leadership mediating between local culture and national policies. Malaysia's institutions, such as the International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), demonstrate more systemic integration, strategically designing digital transformation through policies rooted in progressive Islamic principles, reinforced by visionary leadership that aligns cultural values with global standards. Egypt presents a more gradual interaction model where Al-Azhar's scholarly traditions maintain primacy, yet cautiously accommodate digital innovations that preserve epistemic authority.

The three countries demonstrate that these elements interact not linearly, but through dialogic and situational relationships. Digital innovation does not simply replace local values; rather, it serves as an instrument that extends their reach into new contexts. Conversely, local cultural values guide the interpretation of technology, determining what merits adoption versus critique. In all cases, strategic leadership plays a pivotal role as the synthesizer of these two major currents—tradition and modernity. Analysis of higher education policy documents across these nations reveals that successful digital strategies and local value preservation critically depend on leadership capable of navigating this complexity. This tripartite interaction shows that thriving Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) are not those choosing between tradition and innovation, but rather those transforming both into mutually reinforcing strategic resources.

Table 4. Interaction Patterns in Hybrid Strategic Models

Element	Function	Role in Interaction	Strategic Impact
Local wisdom	Institutional value and identity foundation	Directing value in the digitalization process	Social legitimacy and cultural continuity
Digital Innovation	Efficiency and expansion instruments	Improving access and flexibility of governance	Structural and functional transformation
Strategic Leadership	Mediator and catalyst	Bringing together value and technology in a strategic framework	Institutional adaptability to change

Source: Results of conceptual synthesis of three previous findings by the author, 2025.

The findings reveal that the interaction between local values, digital innovation, and leadership forms hybrid management models. In Indonesia, informal relational systems blend with pragmatic digitalization. Malaysia strategically synergizes cultural values and digital transformation through systematic planning. Egypt maintains conservative approaches while permitting limited innovation spaces. The collective data indicates that the success of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) critically depends on contextual integration of all three elements.

Discussion
Cultural Identity and Strategic Legitimacy in IHEIs

These findings affirm the critical role of local values as value drivers in the managerial strategies of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) in developing countries. Unlike Western approaches emphasizing measurable efficiency and outcomes, contexts like Indonesia, Malaysia, and Egypt demonstrate that social legitimacy and cultural affiliation constitute vital factors in designing and executing strategic policies. Recent scholarship highlights that in Global South contexts, higher education strategies become more effective when anchored in community-based values and cultural legitimacy. In Indonesia, this is reflected in the adoption of *musyawarah* or deliberative consensus as a managerial practice, which draws inspiration from pesantren traditions. Such approaches not only reinforce institutional identity but also enhance strategic legitimacy by ensuring that decision-making processes remain socially grounded and widely accepted.

However, integrating local values into managerial strategies also encounters structural and adaptive challenges. In Indonesia, culturally-grounded informal practices sometimes conflict with bureaucratic accountability demands and efficiency imperatives. Malaysia's formalization of cultural values risks becoming rhetorical when disconnected from operational-level reforms. Meanwhile, Egypt faces dilemmas between maintaining traditional identity stability and responding to global higher education developments. This demonstrates that successful institutional integration of local wisdom critically depends on an institution's capacity to transform these values into organizational designs, decision-making structures, and long-term policy directions. Consequently, local wisdom cannot remain merely a supporting narrative, but

must become structurally embedded in strategic planning to endure and thrive within the global higher education ecosystem.

Local wisdom in Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) operates not merely as symbolism but as strategic capital that constitutes institutional social legitimacy. These findings reinforce that institutional success is fundamentally determined by the capacity to internalize local values into core visions and policies. In Indonesia and Egypt, value cohesion forms social resilience, while Malaysia's synthesis of Malay-Islamic values with globalization enhances both stability and competitiveness.

Readiness and Contextualization of Digital Innovation

These findings substantiate the argument that successful transformation strategies in Islamic higher education institutions critically depend on strategic leadership capable of interpreting and responding to external dynamics without compromising internal value integrity. In developing countries, leaders of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) face the persistent dilemma of balancing modernization with the preservation of tradition. Leadership that prioritizes administrative performance without cultural sensitivity risks losing internal legitimacy, while overly conservative leadership risks global irrelevance. Malaysia provides a relatively balanced example, where the International Islamic University Malaysia's (UIAM) leadership has successfully positioned the institution as globally competitive while preserving its cultural and religious identity. This illustrates that strategic leadership in higher education must blend long-term vision with adaptive responsiveness to changing environments, ensuring that transformation remains both contextually grounded and forward-looking.

Conversely, Egypt presents a conservative approach reflecting the distinctive dynamics of long-established institutions. Al-Azhar, as an institution deeply rooted in classical Islamic scholarship, demonstrates that digital innovation adoption remains inextricably tied to considerations of scholarly authority and epistemic caution (Mohiuddin, 2023). This affirms the critical importance of 'digital compatibility' in religious-tradition-based education religious (Ji et al., 2022). Despite slower adoption, Egypt's approach embodies a commitment to safeguarding scholarly integrity. Collectively, this comparison reveals that successful digital innovation in driving strategic transformation at Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) depends on three determinants: institutional value frameworks, infrastructure readiness, and leadership styles that position technology not merely as tools but as components of institutional identity strategy.

Digital technology implementation in Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) requires more than infrastructure - it demands contextualization with local values. Malaysia exemplifies the ideal through its value-based strategic approach, while Indonesia and Egypt demonstrate that successful digitalization necessitates leadership deeply attuned to institutional social structures and internal value systems.

Leadership as Cultural Integrator and Change Architect

These findings substantiate the argument that successful transformation strategies in Islamic higher education institutions critically depend on strategic

leadership capable of interpreting and responding to external dynamics without compromising internal value integrity. In developing countries, leaders of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) face the persistent dilemma of balancing modernization with the preservation of tradition. Leadership that prioritizes administrative performance without cultural sensitivity risks losing community legitimacy, while overly conservative leadership risks global irrelevance. Malaysia offers a relatively balanced example, where the International Islamic University Malaysia (UIAM) has successfully positioned itself as a globally competitive institution while maintaining its cultural and religious identity. This demonstrates that strategic leadership in higher education must integrate long-term vision with adaptive responsiveness to change, ensuring that transformation remains contextually grounded while future-oriented.

Meanwhile, Indonesian leadership demonstrates strengths in maintaining social cohesion and managing institutional internal dynamics, yet requires fortification in data-driven strategic planning and innovation. Conversely, Egypt exhibits a leadership model grounded in moral authority and scholarly tradition, which, while decelerating digital transformation acceleration, provides stability in preserving institutional identity. This demonstrates the non-universality of strategic leadership models, necessitating contextualization based on value systems, power structures, and institutional readiness.

Within strategic management theory frameworks, leaders of Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) in developing countries must function as cultural integrators and change navigators—simultaneously rooted in local culture while steering institutions toward adaptive futures. This dual-capacity leadership becomes the linchpin for unifying traditional strengths and digital innovation trajectories into a coherent, sustainable strategic framework.

Strategic leadership in Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) must serve as a bridge between tradition and innovation. Leaders cannot merely function as administrative managers, but must operate as cultural actors and transformation agents. Across all cases, leadership determines the extent to which local values and technology can achieve synergistic integration.

Designing Hybrid Strategic Models in Developing Country Contexts

Cross-thematic findings reveal that the most relevant strategic management model for Islamic Higher Education Institutions (IHEIs) in developing countries is one characterized by hybridity and adaptive responsiveness to local–global dynamics. Local wisdom provides foundational values that strengthen institutional social legitimacy; digital innovation offers technical capacity and operational efficiency; while strategic leadership acts as the architect bridging these two poles. Within IHEIs, leaders must not only comprehend the meaning of cultural values held by academic communities, but also possess the competence to transform these values into policies compatible with digital advancement.

This approach also addresses long-standing critiques of the modernity–tradition dichotomy in Islamic education reform discourse. The model proposed in this study rejects the narrative that digital innovation must be secular or that local values are merely symbolic. Rather, digitalization in Islamic higher education can be contextual, ethical, and religiously embedded when designed around

locally grounded institutional values. In this sense, the interaction between local wisdom, digital innovation, and strategic leadership establishes a management orientation that is simultaneously competitive, socially sustainable, and spiritually meaningful.

The interplay of these three elements thus forms an adaptive hybrid strategic management model that maintains institutional identity amidst global change. This offers an alternative paradigm for higher education management in the Global South—one oriented not merely toward efficiency but equally toward cultural legitimacy, community trust, and long-term sustainability.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the integration of local wisdom, digital innovation, and strategic leadership constitutes the foundation of effective transformation in Islamic higher education institutions in developing countries. The main lesson is that sustainable competitiveness cannot be achieved by technology adoption alone but requires organizational environments that legitimize innovation through cultural values, institutional trust, and adaptive governance. By showing how Indonesia, Egypt, and Malaysia adopt different integration patterns, the study provides new insights into context-sensitive strategies that harmonize tradition and modernity.

Scientifically, the study contributes by advancing a contextual model of strategic management that enriches non-Western perspectives and challenges the dominance of Western paradigms in higher education management theory. Practically, it provides guidance for policymakers and institutional leaders to design adaptive yet culturally embedded transformation pathways. Nevertheless, the research is limited by its reliance on secondary data and focus on only three national contexts, leaving opportunities for future empirical studies to test, refine, and expand the proposed model across broader institutional settings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

Amaru, S., & Chhetri, N. B. (2013). Climate Adaptation: Institutional Response to Environmental Constraints, and the Need for Increased Flexibility, Participation, and Integration of Approaches. *Applied Geography*, *39*, 128–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.12.006

Bergek, A., Hekkert, M., Jacobsson, S., Markard, J., Sandén, B., & Truffer, B. (2015). Technological Innovation Systems in Contexts: Conceptualizing Contextual Structures and Interaction Dynamics. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, *16*, 51–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2015.07.003

Burchardt, C., & Maisch, B. (2019). Digitalization Needs a Cultural Change–Examples of Applying Agility and Open Innovation to Drive the Digital Transformation. *Procedia Cirp*, *84*, 112–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2019.05.009

Chankseliani, M., Qoraboyev, I., & Gimranova, D. (2021). Higher Education Contributing to Local, National, and Global Development: New Empirical and Conceptual Insights. *Higher Education*, *81*(1), 109–127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00565-8

Conner, M. (2020). Theory of Planned Behavior. *Handbook of Sport Psychology*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119568124.ch1

Dopson, S., Ferlie, E., McGivern, G., Fischer, M. D., Mitra, M., Ledger, J., & Behrens, S. (2019). Leadership Development in Higher Education: A Literature Review and Implications for Programme Redesign. *Higher Education Quarterly*, *73*(2), 218–234. https://doi.org/10.1111/hequ.12194

Farinha, J., & de Fátima Pina, M. (2025). Theoretical Frameworks for Organisational Design: A Conceptual Model. In *Organisational Behaviour and Design: Implications for Management* (pp. 129–145). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-80422-9_8

Ferreira, N. C., & Ferreira, J. J. M. (2025). The Field of Resource-Based View Research: Mapping Past, Present and Future Trends. *Management Decision*, *63*(4), 1124–1153. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-1908

France, E. F., Uny, I., Ring, N., Turley, R. L., Maxwell, M., Duncan, E. A. S., Jepson, R. G., Roberts, R. J., & Noyes, J. (2019). A Methodological Systematic Review of Meta-Ethnography Conduct to Articulate the Complex Analytical Phases. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *19*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0670-7

Hart, P. F., & Rodgers, W. (2024). Competition, Competitiveness, and Competitive Advantage in Higher Education Institutions: A Systematic Literature Review. *Studies in Higher Education*, *49*(11), 2153–2177. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2023.2293926

Hayward, F. M. (2020). *Transforming Higher Education in Asia and Africa: Strategic Planning and Policy*. SUNY Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781438478470

Hogan, S. J., & Coote, L. V. (2014). Organizational Culture, Innovation, and Performance: A Test of Schein's Model. *Journal of Business Research*, *67*(8), 1609–1621. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.09.007

Islam, A. K. M. N. (2013). Investigating E-Learning System Usage Outcomes in the University Context. *Computers & Education*, *69*, 387–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.07.037

Ji, H., Pang, Y., Suo, L., & Wang, T. (2022). Research on How External Environment Influences Digitalization of Cultural Enterprises. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, *2022*(1), 6807145. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6807145

Kassotaki, O. (2019). Ambidextrous Leadership in High Technology Organizations. *Organizational Dynamics*, *48*(2), 37–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2018.10.001

Mohamed Hashim, & Duncan Matthews, R. (2022). A Sustainable University: Digital Transformation and Beyond. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(7), 8961–8996. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10968-y

Mohiuddin, A. (2023). Religious Authority in Islam: Resilient Patterns of Evolution from the Formative Period to Contemporary Times. In *Navigating Religious Authority in Muslim Societies: Islamist Movements and the Challenge of Globalisation* (pp. 117–163). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-44825-6_4

Okolie, A. C. (2003). Producing Knowledge for Sustainable Development in Africa: Implications for Higher Education. *Higher Education*, *46*(2), 235–260. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024717729885

Oplatka, I., & Arar, K. H. (2016). Leadership for Social Justice and the Characteristics of Traditional Societies: Ponderings on the Application of Western-Grounded Models. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, *19*(3), 352–369. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2015.1028464

Quantz, R., Cambron-McCabe, N., Dantley, M., & Hachem, A. H. (2017). Culture-Based Leadership. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, *20*(3), 376–392. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2015.1099741

Roberts, K., Dowell, A., & Nie, J.-B. (2019). Attempting Rigor and Replicability in Thematic Analysis of Qualitative Research Data; A Case Study of Codebook Development. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *19*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-019-0707-y

Rudiana, R. (2023). Navigating the 21st Century: The Triangle of Education's Holistic Approach to Spiritual, Entrepreneurial, and Technological Integration. *AIM: Journal of Islamic Education Management*, *1*(2), 113–132.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2024). Self-Determination Theory. In *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research* (pp. 6229–6235). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17299-1_2630

Saba, T. (2012). Implications of E-Learning Systems and Self-Efficiency on Students Outcomes: A Model Approach. *Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences*, *2*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-1962-2-6

Sarta, A., Durand, R., & Vergne, J.-P. (2021). Organizational Adaptation. *Journal of Management*, *47*(1), 43–75. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320929088

Shepherd, N. G., & Rudd, J. M. (2014). The Influence of Context on the Strategic Decision-Making Process: A Review of the Literature. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, *16*(3), 340–364. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12023

Sinnaiah, T. (2023). A Strategic Management Process: The Role of Decision-Making Style and Organisational Performance. *Journal of Work-Applied Management*, *15*(1), 37–50. https://doi.org/10.1108/JWAM-10-2022-0074

Thomann, E., & Maggetti, M. (2020). Designing Research with Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA): Approaches, Challenges, and Tools. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *49*(2), 356–386. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124117729700

Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., Monés, A. M., & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of Digital Technologies on Education and Factors Influencing Schools' Digital Capacity and Transformation: A Literature Review. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(6), 6695–6726. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8

Yaghi, A. (2017). Adaptive Organizational Leadership Style: Contextualizing Transformational Leadership in a Non-Western Country. *International Journal of Public Leadership*, *13*(4), 243–259. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPL-01-2017-0001