Proceeding of 2nd International Conference on Education, Society and Humanity
e-ISSN : 2986-5832
p-ISSN : 2986-6979

Vol. 03 No. 01 (2025)
Available online at https://ejournal.unuja.ac.id/index.php/icesh

THE FUTURE OF EDUCATION IN THE DIGITAL ERA: BETWEEN TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION AND EQUITABLE ACCESS

Annisa Tri Ananda Dewi[1], M. Syafiih[2]
annisatrianandadewi9@gmail.com[1], m.syafii@unuja.ac.id[2]
[1,2] Nurul Jadid University, East Java, Indonesia

Abstract:

Advances in information and communication technology (ICT) have had a significant impact on the education system, including in Indonesia. Learning can now take place without the constraints of time and space through the use of online platforms, digital classrooms, and the application of cutting-edge technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and the metaverse. However, expanding access to technology has not fully aligned with improving the quality of education. Digital inequality remains a major issue, encompassing limitations in network infrastructure, device availability, and the ability of educators and students to utilize technology optimally. The purpose of this article is to examine how technology is transforming education, considering aspects of access and equity; to examine their interrelationships; and to examine the implications for education. and suggests approaches to make technology-based education more inclusive and equitable. This study uses a descriptive approach to examine the relationship between justice, technology, and educational quality, based on the perspectives of several researchers, such, The results of the discussion indicate that educational technology is not entirely neutral, as it contains specific values, interests, and power structures. Therefore, the application of justice-oriented technology pedagogy needs to be developed so that educators are able to understand and assess technology not only from a functional perspective but also from its social and ethical implications. To realize a just and sustainable digital educational transformation, a comprehensive strategy is needed, including improving teacher competency, equitable distribution of technological infrastructure, providing open learning resources, and cross-sectoral collaboration between the government, higher education institutions, and technology providers. Thus, technology can play a role as an instrument to strengthen justice, quality, and inclusiveness in education in Indonesia.

Keywords: *Educational technology, Digital Justice*

INTRODUCTION

Amidst the rapid pace of global change, education and technology are two key elements that synergize in shaping the future of society (Mundiri et al., 2025). The theme "The Future is Now; Reimagining Knowledge, Power, and Justice in a Changing World" invites us to rethink how knowledge, power, and justice will be implemented now and in the future, particularly in the realm of education and technology (Khosi'in et al., 2024).

Education is no longer simply about sharing knowledge from teachers to students in physical classrooms; it has also penetrated the digital realm through online platforms, learning apps, virtual reality, and more. However, this transformation also brings challenges: infrastructure gaps, unequal access, teacher capacity to utilize technology, and the potential for misuse of technology as a tool of domination.

Thus, the primary challenge in education today is not simply how to adopt technology, but how to ensure that the digital transformation is equitable, inclusive, and sustainable. Efforts such as increasing teacher capacity, equitable distribution of digital infrastructure, developing open learning resources, and cross-sector collaboration between governments, universities, and technology providers are strategic steps to ensure that technology truly becomes a tool of empowerment, not a source of new inequities in education.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research uses a qualitative descriptive approach to ensure comprehensive, detailed, and directional data. This stage involves data collection, analysis, and evaluation. This research focuses on education and technology in the digital age.

The data collection process utilizes a literature review, which is based on reviewing theories, previous research, and scientific papers relevant to education and technology to strengthen the conceptual framework and data interpretation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The development of information and communication technology (ICT) has opened up opportunities for learning beyond the constraints of time and space. Through online platforms and digital classrooms, students from various locations can access materials and learning. In Indonesia, the government plans to equip 330,000 schools with interactive digital screens to support multimedia learning and bridge the teacher shortage in remote areas (Yanwardhana, 2025).

Despite increasing access to technology, the quality of education remains a major challenge. Although access to formal schools has expanded, quality aspects such as teacher quality, methods, and media literacy often lag behind (Nurdin et al., 2024). Darmawan et al., 2025 also stated that technology has great potential to increase access and engagement in learning, but barriers such as the digital divide and teacher skills remain major barriers. Rapidly developing technology will not necessarily improve the quality of education if institutions cannot adapt effectively and keep pace with technological developments.

Educational technology is not neutral; it carries certain values, power structures, and conventions in its design. Heath et al. (2022) revealed that educational technology can become a "tool of oppression" if values of power and injustice are embedded in the system. Equitable technology integration requires a conscious effort to critique and revise existing standards. Teacher education needs to instill a justice-oriented technology pedagogy approach so they can assess technology not only from a functional perspective but also from its social implications. While technology used in learning has positive implications and facilitates faster and easier access, its impact must also be evaluated to ensure fair use of technology.

In the context of higher education, Veletsianos et al. (2024) stated that almost every discourse on the future of education now revolves around technology. While there is an optimistic view that technology expands access and inclusion, a critique of the techno-solutionism approach that simplifies issues is necessary. Current education, with the advent of technology, has become a simplified one (Samsul, 2025). However, the current reality is that neither teachers nor students are fully capable of keeping pace with existing technology, which creates or shapes new inequities. Equity in technology-based education means that every student, regardless of socioeconomic background, geographic location, or ability, has equal access to learning resources. (Papendieck, 2018) emphasizes that equitable technology integration goes beyond simply providing devices; it must also involve pedagogical design that is responsive to social conditions. The Smart Tech Use for Equity project in San Diego, for example, demonstrates the importance of teacher evaluation of the extent to which technology truly supports the learning of all students. This is because the influence of technology can have both positive and negative impacts on students.

In Indonesia, inequality in internet and device access remains a major challenge (Zamjani, 2024). Several advanced technologies promise more flexible and adaptive educational changes, such as the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and the metaverse. IoT enables automated classroom management, blockchain facilitates secure and transparent diploma verification, and the metaverse opens up new immersive learning opportunities (Diana & Sain, 2025). However, infrastructure readiness and digital literacy are crucial prerequisites. To ensure equitable technological transformation, strategies that can be implemented include: increasing teacher capacity, equitable infrastructure, developing open educational resources, and cross-sector collaboration between the government, universities, and technology providers (Sain et al., 2025). Some of these prerequisites remain unaddressed, particularly in remote schools that still lack adequate internet access.

CONCLUSION

The development of information and communication technology has opened up significant opportunities for educational transformation in Indonesia. Access to learning is no longer limited by space and time thanks to the presence of online platforms, digital classrooms, and various innovations such as the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and the metaverse. However, advances in technological access have not automatically been accompanied by improvements in educational quality. Challenges such as the digital divide, low media literacy, and teachers' limited ability to integrate technology remain major obstacles.

Various studies show that educational technology is not neutral because it always carries certain values, power structures, and biases in its design. Therefore, a justice-oriented technology pedagogy approach is needed in teacher education so that the use of technology is not solely oriented towards function but also considers its social and ethical implications. Technology should be a tool of empowerment, not a tool of oppression that reinforces new inequities.

Furthermore, justice in technology-based education requires equal access for all learners, regardless of socioeconomic or geographic background. Equitable technology integration must include pedagogical design that is

responsive to students' social conditions and a critical evaluation of the impact of technology use in the classroom.

Therefore, to ensure a fair and sustainable digital transformation in education, a comprehensive strategy is needed, including improving teacher competency, equitable distribution of technology infrastructure, developing open learning resources, and cross-sector collaboration between the government, universities, and technology providers. Only in this way can technology truly become a tool that strengthens equity, quality, and inclusivity in Indonesian education.

REFERENCES

Diana, E., & Sain, Z. H. (2025). Quality Control Emotions: Strategies for Managing Student Stress in Higher Education. *Journal of Psychological Insight*, *1*(1), 1–11.

Khosi'in, I., Wiarsih, N., & Faishol, R. (2024). Strategies of Islamic Education Teachers Based on Local Wisdom in Enhancing Learning Quality at Madrasah: Strategi Guru Pendidikan Agama Islam Berbasis Kearifan Lokal dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran di Madrasah. *TA'LIMUNA: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *13*(2), 98–112.

Mundiri, A., Munawwaroh, I., Hadi, M. I., Shudiq, W. J., & Maulidy, A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence (AI) Innovation in Education: From Data-Driven Learning to Automated Teaching. *2025 IEEE International Conference on Industry 4.0, Artificial Intelligence, and Communications Technology (IAICT)*, 173–180.

Sain, Z. H., Aziz, A. L., Putri, D. F., & Sain, S. H. (2025). Sustainable Legal Education: Aligning Curricula with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *GAS Journal of Law and Society*, *2*(1), 10–19.

Samsul, Z. H. S. (2025). Quality Control in HR Management: Ensuring Accreditation in Higher Educatiaon. *Evaluasi: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 9*(1), 142–158.

Diana, E., & Sain, Z. H. (2025). Quality Control Emotions: Strategies for Managing Student Stress in Higher Education. *Journal of Psychological Insight*, *1*(1), 1–11.

Khosi'in, I., Wiarsih, N., & Faishol, R. (2024). Strategies of Islamic Education Teachers Based on Local Wisdom in Enhancing Learning Quality at Madrasah: Strategi Guru Pendidikan Agama Islam Berbasis Kearifan Lokal dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran di Madrasah. *TA'LIMUNA: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *13*(2), 98–112.

Mundiri, A., Munawwaroh, I., Hadi, M. I., Shudiq, W. J., & Maulidy, A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence (AI) Innovation in Education: From Data-Driven Learning to Automated Teaching. *2025 IEEE International Conference on Industry 4.0, Artificial Intelligence, and Communications Technology (IAICT)*, 173–180.

Sain, Z. H., Aziz, A. L., Putri, D. F., & Sain, S. H. (2025). Sustainable Legal Education: Aligning Curricula with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *GAS Journal of Law and Society*, *2*(1), 10–19.

Sa'diyah, H., Manshur, U., & Suhermanto, S. (2024). Integration of Talking Stick and Audio Visual: an Innovative Approach in Improving Student Learning Outcomes. *FALASIFA: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, *15*(1), 48-60.

Samsul, Z. H. S. (2025). Quality Control in HR Management: Ensuring Accreditation in Higher Educatiaon. *Evaluasi: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 9*(1), 142–158.

Darmawan, P. D., Aziz, M. F. R., & Aini, Kurratul, T. (2025). Kesenjangan Akses Teknologi di Sekolah: Tantangan dan Solusi dalam Penggunaan Media Pembelajaran Digital Berbasis E-Learning. *Zaheen: Jurnal Pendidikan, Agama dan Budaya*, *1*(2), 1–12.

Heath, M., Asim, S., Milman, N., & Henderson, J. (2022). Confronting tools of the oppressor: Framing just technology integration in educational technology and teacher education. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, *22*(4), 754–777.

Nurdin, A. A., Mawaddah, A. R., Abdullah, N. P., Yadilla, N., & Aini, Q. (2024). Pengaruh Keterbatasan Akses Pendidikan Terhadap Tingginya Angka Putus Sekolah Dikaitkan Dengan SDGs. *Madani: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, *2*(9), 660–674.

Papendieck, A. (2018). Technology for Equity and Social Justice in Education: A Critical Issue Overview. *Texas Education Review*, *6*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.15781/T2891278V

Veletsianos, G., Houlden, S., Ross, J., Alhadad, S., & Dickson-Deane, C. (2024). Higher education futures at the intersection of justice, hope, and educational technology. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00475-0

Yanwardhana, E. (2025). *Prabowo Sebar 330 Ribu Layar Digital Pintar ke Sekolah-Sekolah di RI*. CNBC Indonesia. https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/tech/20250911154650-37-666192/prabowo-sebar-330-ribu-layar-digital-pintar-ke-sekolah-sekolah-di-ri

Zamjani, I. (2024). *Indonesia's Challenges in Technology and Learning Equity for Teachers*. the jakarta post. https://www.thejakartapost.com/opinion/2024/04/06/indonesias-challenges-in-technology-and-learning-equity-for-teachers.html