IJ-ATL: International Journal of Arabic Teaching and Learning

Vol. 06 No. 02 (2022): 223-239

Available online at https://ejournal.unuja.ac.id/index.php/ij-atl



Shawqi Daif's Reformative Approach to Arabic Grammar Pedagogy for Non-Native Learners

Fadlan Masykura Setiadi1*

¹ Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Negeri Mandailing Natal Sumatra Utara, Indonesia

Received:	Revised:	Accepted:	Published:
13 July 2022	14 September 2022	16 October 2022	16 December 2022

Abstract:

This study aims to find out the views of Shawqi Daif and his efforts in updating the learning of Arabic grammar for non-Arabic speakers as stated in his work Tajdīd al-Naḥw. . This research uses a qualitative approach with the type of library research. The results of this study are as follows: a) Shawqi Daif's views in grammatical reform include the elimination of i'rāb taqdīrī (i'rāb assessment) and i'rāb maḥallī (i'rāb place), the neglect of i'rāb which does not provide benefits, the preparation of more appropriate definitions and limits for some nahwu chapters, the elimination of various materials that are considered excessive in the discussion of grammar, as well as the addition of material that is considered essential in certain chapters.b) The efforts to update the presentation of grammatical material in the book Tajdīd al-Naḥw are arranged in the form of a gradual study, starting from a discussion of the pronunciation of words, the classification of fi'il (verbs), forms of changes and types of letters; followed by a discussion of the classification of isim (nouns), forms of change and types; Then there is a discussion of words that belong to the category of marfū' (ber-i'rāb raf'), such as mubtada' and khabar, Inna and her brothers, as well as lā nāfiyah li al-jins, then fā'il and nā'ib fā'il. Furthermore, the discussion of words that belong to the category of manṣūb (ber-iˈrāb naṣb) begins with a direct object (maf'ūl bih). After that, a complementary study of the previous nahwu chapters was also presented, as well as additional discussions that included themes of zikr (mention) and hadf (elimination), tamyīz, mudhāf ilayh, as well as the elimination of the answer to the condition or oath indicated through contextual clues or indications of meaning.

Keywords: Shawqi Daif, term2,

Abstrak:

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui pandangan-pandangan Shawqi Daif serta upayanya dalam pembaruan pembelajaran tata bahasa Arab bagi penutur non-Arab sebagaimana tertuang dalam karyanya Tajdīd al-Nahw. . Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dengan jenis library research. Adapun hasil dari penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut: a) Pandangan Shawqi Daif dalam pembaruan tata bahasa mencakup penghapusan i'rāb taqdīrī (i'rāb taksiran) dan i'rāb maḥallī (i'rāb tempat), pengabaian i'rāb yang tidak memberikan manfaat, penyusunan definisi dan batasan yang lebih tepat untuk beberapa bab nahwu, penghapusan berbagai materi yang dianggap berlebihan dalam pembahasan tata bahasa, serta penambahan materi yang dianggap esensial pada beberapa bab tertentu.b) Adapun upayanya dalam memperbarui penyajian materi tata bahasa dalam buku Tajdīd al-Naḥw disusun dalam bentuk kajian bertahap, dimulai dari pembahasan tentang pelafalan kata, klasifikasi fi'il (kata kerja), bentuk-bentuk perubahan dan jenis-jenis huruf; dilanjutkan dengan pembahasan tentang klasifikasi isim (kata benda), bentuk-bentuk perubahan dan jenis-jenisnya; kemudian pembahasan tentang kata-kata yang termasuk kategori marfū' (ber-i'rāb raf'), seperti mubtada' dan khabar, inna dan saudara-saudaranya, serta lā nāfiyah li al-jins, kemudian fāʻil dan nā'ib fāʻil. Selanjutnya, pembahasan mengenai kata-kata yang termasuk kategori manşūb (ber-i'rāb naşb) diawali dengan objek langsung (maf'ūl bih). Setelah itu, disampaikan pula kajian pelengkap terhadap bab-bab nahwu sebelumnya, serta pembahasan tambahan yang meliputi tema tentang zikr (penyebutan) dan ḥadf (penghapusan), tamyīz, mudhāf ilayh, serta penghapusan jawaban syarat atau sumpah yang ditunjukkan melalui petunjuk kontekstual atau indikasi makna.

Kata Kunci: Shawqi Daif, Rules of Nahwu.

*Corresponding author

Email: fadlanmasykura@stain-madina.ac.id

How to Cite:

Setiadi, F. M. (2022). Shawqi Daif's Reformative Approach to Arabic Grammar Pedagogy for Non-Native Learners. IJ-ATL (International Journal of Arabic Teaching and Learning), 6(2).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33650/ijatl.v6i2.2986

المقدمة / INTRODUCTION

Arabic grammar (*qawā ʿid al-lughah al-ʿArabiyyah*) is a branch of knowledge specifically studied within the discipline of *naḥw* (syntax). This field addresses the forms of words in the Arabic language, their grammatical states, and positions, both individually and when constructed within a sentence structure (حفنی بك ناصف و زملاؤه, n.d.). Consequently, Arabic grammar is also referred to as *qawā ʿid al-naḥwiyyah*, encompassing discussions on the grammatical functions and positions of words in sentence structures based on *iʿrāb* (inflection), such as *mubtadaʾ* and *khabar*, *fā ʿil* and *maf ʿūl*, plural forms, and others (Hermas, 2019, 2020; Madi & Al-Khalifa, 2018).

However, Arabic grammar is often regarded as one of the most complex and challenging aspects of Arabic language learning. This difficulty is not only experienced by non-native learners but also by native speakers of Arabic (Haskel-Shaham, Klaus, & Tamir, 2018; Rajab, 2020; Shehata, 2018) One of the main causes of this challenge lies in the complexity of naḥw and ṣarf (morphology) materials taught across various levels of education(1985, الخاصة). In response, numerous reform efforts have been undertaken throughout history to modernize and simplify the teaching of Arabic grammar. One of the earliest and most notable attempts was made by Ibn Maḍāʾ al-Qurṭubī in his work al-Radd ʿalā al-Naḥwiyyīn, in which he critically rejected the theory of ʿāmil (governing word), a foundational principle in traditional Arabic grammar.

In the modern era, reformist efforts began with Rifāʿah al-Ṭaḥṭāwī through his work al-Tuḥfah al-Maktabiyyah li-Taqrīb al-Lughah al-ʿArabiyyah, followed by Ḥafnī Nāṣif and his colleagues in Qawāʿid al-Lughah al-ʿArabiyyah, as well as ʿAlī al-Jārim and Muṣṭafā Amīn in al-Naḥw al-Wāḍiḥ. Later, Abdellah introduced a bold new approach in his book Iḥyāʾal-Naḥw, in which he proposed abolishing the theory of ʿāmil, although he was unaware of Ibn Maḍāʾ's manuscript at the time since it had not yet been published (Abdellah & Haridy, 2017). Despite the conceptual similarities, Ibrāhīm Muṣṭafā's approach is considered an independent initiative.

Another significant figure in the reform of Arabic grammar is Shawqī Daīf. His reformist ideas emerged from the observation that many Arab countries expressed concern over the younger generation's weak command of Arabic grammar and even their inability to speak correctly in formal Arabic (Alver, 2019; Hardie & Ibrahim, 2021; Wahba, England, & Taha, 2017). He attributed this issue to the traditional *naḥw* system, which is overly theoretical, laden with excessive terminology, and disconnected from practical language use.

Shawqī Þaīf's reform efforts began in 1947 when he edited and wrote a critical introduction to Ibn Maḍā 's al-Radd 'alā al-Naḥwiyyīn. In this introduction, he proposed a new approach emphasizing the simplification of naḥw for beginners and learners. His ideas were based on three core principles derived from Ibn Maḍā 's thought, complemented with practical examples to support his methodology (1992).

Moreover, Shawqī Daīf gained institutional support. The Ministry of Education—then known as the Ministry of Maʿārif—formed a scholarly committee to review the naḥw curriculum and recommend simplification. These proposals were discussed in a session of the Majmaʿal-Lughah al-ʿArabiyyah (Academy of the Arabic Language) in 1945 and were largely approved. However, the books produced based on these recommendations did not gain widespread acceptance. This situation led Shawqī Daīf to develop a more applicable alternative approach grounded in Ibn Maḍāʾ's ideas (1992).

Far from being confined to theoretical frameworks, Shawqī Daīf continued to refine his ideas until 1977, when he formally submitted a project to the *Majma ʿal-Lughah al-ʿArabiyyah* advocating for the simplification of Arabic grammar. This project was largely approved in 1979, culminating in his monumental work *Tajdīd al-Naḥw*. The book presents a new classification model for Arabic grammar, built upon the principles he had outlined in the introduction to *al-Radd ʿalā al-Naḥwiyyīn*, along with additional concepts discussed in its preface(1982 (غيف). Based on this background, the primary aim of this study is to examine Shawqī Daīf's perspectives and contributions to the reform of Arabic grammar pedagogy for non-native speakers, as articulated in his work *Tajdīd al-Naḥw*.

المنهجية / RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach, which does not require the formulation of hypotheses but focuses on describing phenomena in a detailed and comprehensive manner (Arikunto, 2002; Kemparaj & Chavan, 2013). The objective of this approach is to understand and interpret various symptoms or ideas comprehensively based on the qualitative data obtained (Sukardi, 2003). This approach is deemed appropriate because the data required in this study are not numerical or statistical, but rather conceptual and narrative information related to the content and structure of ideas.

The type of research used is library research, which involves an in-depth examination of various primary and secondary reference sources relevant to the research topic. These sources include books, scholarly journals, articles, documents, archives, and valid digital or online resources (Hasan, 2002).

The data analysis method applied in this study is content analysis, a technique used to systematically identify, examine, and interpret meaning from textual data. The researcher analyzes the data based on key themes related to Shawqī Daīf's reformative ideas in Arabic grammar pedagogy, particularly as presented in his seminal work *Tajdīd al-Naḥw*. The analysis follows three stages: data reduction, data presentation, and conclusion drawing.

نتائج البحث و المناقشة / FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Shawqi Daif's Perspective on Reforming Arabic Grammar Instruction for Non-Native Speakers

Shawqī Þaīf's perspective on reforming Arabic grammar is reflected in his modern and scientifically grounded presentation of grammatical concepts. His primary goal was to refine and simplify Arabic grammar to make it more accessible and comprehensible, particularly for non-native learners. He sought to reconstruct the grammatical system by establishing six foundational principles. Three of these principles emerged from his critical study and editing of ar-Radd 'ala an-Nuḥāt, while the other three were the result of ongoing intellectual reflection, persistent scholarly efforts, and a deep commitment to grammar reform over many years following the publication of that work.

In 1977, Shawqī paīf proposed a reform project to the *Majma' al-Lughah al-'Arabiyyah* (Academy of the Arabic Language), building on the initial three principles, and introduced a fourth principle discovered during that period. Later, in 1981, two more principles emerged from the depth of his thought and dedication to grammatical reform. Thus, a total of six core principles guided his comprehensive reclassification of Arabic grammar, aiming to simplify its complexities and enhance its pedagogical effectiveness, especially for non-native learners.

The six foundational principles of Arabic grammar reform, as articulated by Shawqī Daīf in *Tajdīd an-Naḥw*, are as follows:

First Principle: Reorganization of Grammar Chapters

As part of this restructuring, Shawqī Þaīf proposed eliminating eighteen chapters found in traditional grammar books. These included chapters on *kāna* and its sisters, *mā*, *lā*, and *lāt* (which function like *laysa*), *kāda* and its sisters, *zanna* and its sisters, *aʿlama* and its sisters, *tanāzuʿ* (conflict of grammatical case), *isytighāl* (preoccupation with declension), *şifah musyabbahah*, *ism tafḍīl* (superlative nouns), *taʿajjub* (exclamatory expressions), *madḥ* and *ḍamm* (praise and criticism), *kunāyāt al-ʿadad* (numerical expressions), *ikhtiṣāṣ*, *taḥdhīr*, *ighrāʾ*, *tarkhīm* (word shortening), *istiġāthah* (cry for help), and *nudbah* (lamentation).

Additionally, the chapters on *iḍāfah* (genitive construction) and *tawābi* (syntactic dependencies) were incorporated into the section on *ism* (noun) in the second part of his book. Importantly, the elimination of these chapters did not mean their contents were completely removed from grammatical study. Rather, they were integrated into more relevant chapters. For example, the chapters on *kāna*, *kāda*, *zanna*, and *aʿlama* were moved to the section on *mafʿūl bih* (object) because Þaīf viewed these verbs as complete (*fiʿl tāmm*), and what was traditionally termed *ism kāna* (subject of kāna) should instead be considered the *fāʿil* (subject), while the *khabar kāna* (predicate) could be interpreted as *ḥāl* or *mafʿūl*, depending on the verb's transitivity.

Following this approach, the chapters on $m\bar{a}$, $l\bar{a}$, and $l\bar{a}t$ were also eliminated, as these particles were seen as structurally analogous to laysa. Paif argued they were more appropriately addressed within the context of maf $\bar{u}l$ constructions. He eliminated $l\bar{a}$ due to its inconsistent usage patterns. As for $l\bar{a}t$, which appears only once in the Qur'an, it was classified as a temporal negation particle and reassigned to the category of shibh al-jumlah (semi-sentential phrases). Meanwhile, $m\bar{a}$, which appears more frequently in the Qur'an, was reanalyzed such that the noun following it is treated as a raised mubtada (subject), and its khabar (predicate) is in the accusative case $(man s\bar{u}b)$ due to the elision of a prepositional particle (harfjarr), rather than a direct grammatical effect of $m\bar{a}$ itself.

The researcher agrees with Daīf's decision to remove the chapters on $k\bar{a}na$, $k\bar{a}da$, zanna, and a 'lama, and to incorporate them into the discussion of maf ' $\bar{u}l$ bih, although there is a difference in opinion regarding the classification of these sentence structures as verbal sentences (jumlah fi 'liyah). Similarly, the removal of $l\bar{a}$ that functions like laysa is supported, but there is a divergence in perspective concerning $m\bar{a}$ and $l\bar{a}t$. Shawqī Daīf's claim that the predicate following $m\bar{a}$ is in the accusative due to a missing harf jarr is considered problematic, since grammatically the predicate does not require a harf jarr and its addition is primarily for emphasis, as in the overuse of min before the mubtada'. Likewise, redefining the $l\bar{a}t$ construction as a shibh al-jumlah in order to remove it risks distorting the fundamental sentence structure, which is composed of two essential elements. The Qur'anic verse ($fan\bar{a}dau$ wa $l\bar{a}t$ $h\bar{n}na$ $man\bar{a}s$) is also difficult to interpret

coherently without assuming an omitted element. Thus, the researcher maintains that $m\bar{a}$ and $l\bar{a}t$ should remain within the *mubtada* '-*khabar* framework, while acknowledging their syntactic influence on subject elevation and predicate suppression—similar to how *ḥarf jarr* influences such structures.

Second Principle: Elimination of I'rāb Taqdīrī and I'rāb Maḥallī

The second principle proposed by Shawqi Daif is the elimination of *i'rāb taqdīrī* (grammatical analysis based on estimation) and *i'rāb maḥallī* (grammatical analysis based on semantic position), inspired by the thoughts of Ibn Maḍā' and the recommendations of the Egyptian Ministry of Education Committee in 1938. According to Shawqi Daif, in a sentence such as *jā'a al-fatā*, one should say that *al-fatā* is the *fā'il* (subject) with the status (*maḥall*) of nominative (*raf'*). In the sentence *hādhā Zayd*, *hādhā* is the *mubtada'* (subject) with a nominative status. This reflects a generalization in grammatical terminology. Meanwhile, in the sentence *Zayd yaktubu*, *yaktubu* is a verbal sentence (*jumlah fi'liyah*) functioning as the predicate (*khabar*), without the need to specify its grammatical status further.

Shawqi Daif outlines several implications of eliminating *i'rāb taqdīrī* and *maḥallī* as follows:

- 1. Elimination of the assumption of hidden elements related to adverbials and prepositional phrases. He argues that adverbs of place or time (*zarf*) and prepositional phrases (*jar wa majrūr*) function directly as syntactic elements (such as *khabar*) in the sentence, without needing to assume a hidden element such as *mustaqirrun* or *istiqarr*. For instance, in the sentence *Zayd fī al-dār*, *fī al-dār* is considered the *khabar*, not a prepositional phrase linked to an implied predicate.
- 2. Elimination of the assumed presence of an after fā' sababiyyah and wāw ma'iyyah. Ibn Maḍā' criticized the assumption of an implied an particle after fā' sababiyyah (causal fa) and wāw ma'iyyah (comitative waw), asserting that the present tense verb (fi'l muḍāri') in such contexts is directly rendered in the manṣūb (accusative) form due to the influence of the particle itself. Shawqi Daif follows this view in his work, eliminating the need to posit a hidden an.
- 3. Elimination of secondary *i'rāb* signs (*'alāmāt i'rāb far'iyyah*). This principle states that all grammatical signs must be the original (*aṣliyah*) signs appropriate to their positions, without replacing one sign with another. Shawqi Daif applies this principle consistently throughout his book, thus avoiding the use of alternative *i'rāb* signs—such as replacing *ḍammah* with *wāw* or *kasrah* with *yā'*—except in cases where absolutely necessary and where no original sign can be applied.

Third Principle: I'rāb as a Means for Pronunciation Accuracy

He argues that the lightened *an* in the verse: "afalā yarawna an lā yarji'u ilayhim qawlan wa lā yamliku lahum naf'an wa lā ḍarran" serves merely as a connector, similar to an in the verse: "fa-awḥaynā ilayhi an iṣna' al-fulk", and also like the lightened form of ka'anna.

Regarding lā siyamā, grammarians have long struggled to analyze it, even though

the word that follows it can be read with raf', naṣb, or jarr. So why complicate something that offers no benefit? Therefore, $i'r\bar{a}b$ for this expression should be eliminated. Similarly, $i'r\bar{a}b$ for the verb in $istisn\bar{a}'$ should also be removed; it should simply be considered an exclusion particle, with the word that follows it as the $mustathn\bar{a}$ (excluded element) in the accusative (naṣb) case. Words like ghayr and $siw\bar{a}$ in the context of $istisn\bar{a}'$ should be treated as descriptive elements $(h\bar{a}l)$.

In addition, the *mufarraġ* form of *istisnā*' should be excluded from the category of *istisnā*', as it actually belongs to the realm of restriction (*qaṣr*) and specification (*takḥṣīṣ*), as determined by the Ministry Committee. Based on this, *i'rāb* for both interrogative and declarative *kam* should be eliminated, and it should simply be labeled as an interrogative or informative particle. The same applies to conditional tools such as *man*, *mā*, *mahmā*, *ayy*, *ayna*, *annā*, *ḥaythumā*, *matā*, *idhā*, *kayfama*—all of which, according to him, need not undergo *i'rāb*, as it does not contribute to pronunciation accuracy.

The researcher agrees with Shawqi Daif on eliminating *i'rāb* for *lā siyamā*, and in treating the lightened *an* and *ka'anna* merely as connectors. The researcher also supports eliminating *i'rāb* for the *istisnā'* verb and removing *istisnā'* mufarraġ from the *istisnā'* category. However, the researcher maintains the view of classical grammarians in preserving *i'rāb* for *ghayr* and *siwā* within the *istisnā'* chapter. As for the proposal to eliminate *i'rāb* for *kam* (interrogative and declarative) and conditional particles, the researcher does not agree with this view.

Fourth Principle: Establishing Precise Definitions and Boundaries

In this principle, Shawqi Daif pays special attention to the need for formulating more accurate and unambiguous grammatical definitions, particularly regarding three syntactic elements: $maf'\bar{u}l$ muțlaq (absolute object), $maf'\bar{u}l$ ma'ah (accompaniment object), and $h\bar{a}l$ (circumstantial adverbial). He criticizes the definitions provided by Ibn Hisham, considering them unstable and lacking precision, and he proposes alternative formulations that he deems clearer and more applicable.

The following is a comparison between the definitions of these three elements as given by Ibn Hisham and by Shawqi Daif:

- 1. Mafʻūl Muṭlaq (Absolute Object). According to Ibn Hisham: "A noun that emphasizes the meaning of the predicate or explains its type or quantity." According to Shawqi Daif: "A noun in the accusative case (*manṣūb*) that confirms the predicate, describes it, or explains it through a particular form of clarification." Daif's formulation, which includes the phrase "bi-ḍarbin min at-tabiyīn" ("by a particular type of clarification"), encompasses all substitute forms of *mafʻūl muṭlaq*, such as synonyms, verbal nouns (*maṣdar*), and other derivatives, thus expanding the scope of the concept more systematically.
- 2. Maf'ūl Ma'ah (Accompaniment Object). According to Ibn Hisham: "An additional noun that follows a wāw meaning ma'a (with), which comes after a sentence containing a verb or a word carrying the meaning and particles of a verb." According to Shawqi Daif: "A noun in the accusative case (manṣūb) that follows wāw not as a conjunction (ḥarf 'aṭf), but with the meaning of ma'a (with)." This definition facilitates the identification of the accompaniment object in a sentence without relying strictly on the explicit presence of a verb.
- 3. Ḥāl (Circumstantial Adverbial). According to Ibn Hisham: "An additional attribute mentioned to describe a condition." According to Shawqi Daif: "An attribute of its possessor, expressed as an indefinite noun (*nakirah*), temporary in nature, and in

the accusative case $(man s \bar{u}b)$." This definition clarifies the temporary quality and the direct relationship between the $h \bar{a}l$ and its possessor $(s \bar{a}h ib al - h \bar{a}l)$, while emphasizing the non-permanent nature that characterizes a $h \bar{a}l$.

The researcher expresses agreement with Shawqi Daif's formulations for these three concepts, as they are considered more operational and easier to apply in syntactic analysis. However, the researcher offers an alternative wording for the definition of <code>ḥāl</code> to make it more concise and directly substantive, namely: "a manṣūb indefinite noun (nakirah) that serves as a temporary attribute of its possessor." Furthermore, the researcher also emphasizes that the definition of maf'ūl muṭlaq proposed by Shawqi Daif remains rooted in the theory of 'āmil (the grammatical governor, typically the verb affecting what follows it), as implied in the phrase "ism yu'akkidu 'āmilahu"—that is, a noun whose accusative state is governed by the verb it emphasizes.

Fifth Principle: Elimination of Non-Essential Elements

Shawqi Daif believes that one form of simplification and reform in Arabic grammar is the removal of additional elements that do not impact the core rules. He proposes eliminating the conditions traditionally attached to the use of *ism tafqīl* (comparative/superlative noun) and *fi'l ta'ajjub* (verbs expressing amazement), arguing that the examples of their usage are sufficient without the need for lengthy conditions.

He also abolishes the rules regarding *ism ālah* (instrumental noun), considering them to be based solely on auditory transmission ($sam\bar{a}'$), and he suggests removing the conditions for forming diminutives ($taṣgh\bar{i}r$) and their rarely used forms in everyday speech—such as the diminutive of *fi'l ta'ajjub*. He eliminates most of the rules in the chapter on *al-nisbah* (attributive adjective formation), deeming them currently irrelevant, as well as many of the obligatory conditions for fronting either the *mubtada'* (subject) or the *khabar* (predicate), grouping these issues under the broader category of *al-taqdīm wa al-ta'khīr* (fronting and postponement).

Similarly, he removes the specific conditions for omitting the *mubtada*' or *khabar*, instead unifying them under the chapter *al-dzikr* wa *al-ḥadhf* (mention and omission). He also abolishes discussions of the function of *laita* when followed by *mā kāffah*, as this construction is not found in contemporary language. Additionally, he eliminates the rule of coordination (*'aṭf*) onto *inna* and its subject (*ismuhā*), arguing that such constructions are simply independent *mubtada*' (subjects) whose predicates are omitted and understood from context.

Furthermore, he eliminates many grammatical views regarding *tābi' al-munādā* (modifiers in vocative expressions), as well as the distinctions between nominative and accusative forms, which he regards as artificial constructs of grammarians. Yet, the Qur'an states: "Yā jibālu awwibī ma'ahu wa al-ṭayra", which indicates the presence of genuine declension forms.

He also removes the rule concerning the grammatical governance ('amal) of the maṣdar (verbal noun) whether in indefinite or definite form (with al), as it is considered no longer used in contemporary Arabic. Moreover, he eliminates the rule of attaching a maṣdar to an object before the subject ($f\bar{a}'il$), and removes the concept known among grammarians

as fā'il sad-da masadd al-khabar (a subject that takes the place of a predicate), due to its unreliable examples, absence from the Qur'an, and lack of prevalence in actual language use.

The researcher agrees with Shawqi Daif on all these points, as they are all aimed at simplifying grammar for learners—especially for non-Arabic-speaking learners.

Sixth Principle: The Addition of Various New Elements

These additions are quite diverse and are intended to clarify Arabic language constructions in the minds of grammar learners. Among these additions is a specific discussion on pronunciation rules. Shawqi Daif argues that in the past, pronunciation was taught alongside the memorization of the Qur'an. However, since today's generation no longer places as much emphasis on Qur'anic memorization, pronunciation must now be taught through grammar books.

These additions include discussions on $t\bar{a}$ al-ta' $n\bar{l}$ s (the feminine ending and its various meanings), the two $n\bar{u}n$ letters in the plural and dual forms (explained as substitutes for $tanw\bar{l}n$ in the singular form), and the distinction between $ism\ jam$ ' (collective nouns) and $ism\ jins\ jam$ ' \bar{l} (generic plural nouns). He also addresses $n\bar{u}n\ al-wiq\bar{a}yah$, the syntactic relationship between $muq\bar{l}af\ and\ muq\bar{l}af\ ilayh$, and the relationship between $t\bar{a}bi$ ' and tlayh within the morphological section (slayh).

In his syntactic (nahwu) discussions, he notes that plural forms of inanimate objects—especially those related to the natural world, physical matter, and natural phenomena—should be treated as singular when used with predicates (khabar), adjectives (na't), and verbs (fi'l). This addition is considered beneficial, even though this usage is already familiar in language practice; classical grammarians never discussed it separately.

He also includes forms such as *ukharā*, *aḥād*, and *muwaḥḥad* under the category of *mamnū* 'min al-ṣarf (diptotes). Additionally, he considers the arrangement of special chapters on al-dzikr wa al-ḥadhf (mention and omission), and al-taqdīm wa al-ta khīr (fronting and delaying), as part of the new additions.

However, the researcher disagrees with this approach, noting that traditional grammarians have already addressed all these issues in their respective sections. Daif himself demonstrates this in the chapter on *tanāzu'*, which he eliminates, merely referencing its applied forms within the discussions on *fā'il* and *maf'ūl*. While he aims to simplify grammatical chapters at the beginning of the book to make learning easier, at the same time, he introduces new chapters—an approach that the researcher views as contradictory in methodology and an added burden for learners, especially for non-native Arabic speakers.

Shawqi Daif's Efforts in the Reform of Arabic Grammar Through His Work *Tajdīd al-Naḥw*.

Shawqi Daif's efforts to reform the method of presenting Arabic grammar (*naḥw*) in his book *Tajdīd al*-Naḥw can be categorized into six main parts, the first of which is outlined as follows:

Part One: On Word Pronunciation, the Classification of Verbs, Their Derivational Forms, and Types of Particles

The study of word pronunciation is among the new topics introduced by Shawqi Daif into the domain of Arabic grammar. However, the researcher offers a critical note concerning Daif's integration of pronunciation with the discussion on *al-shamsiyyah* and *al-qamariyyah* within the section on verbs (fi). It is argued that pronunciation should have been presented in a separate section, as it is more closely related to ism (nouns) than to

fi'l (verbs).

In the discussion on word pronunciation, Shawqi Daif uses the subtitle "Makharij al-Ḥurūf" (articulation points of letters). The researcher suggests that a more appropriate term would be "Makharij al-Aṣwāt" (articulation points of sounds), as letters represent written symbols of the sounds being pronounced. Nonetheless, Shawqi adheres to classical terminology as used by earlier scholars, despite frequently critiquing their methods and terminologies elsewhere in his work.

In his treatment of particle types, Shawqi addresses only hurūf al-ma anī (particles of meaning) without explicitly using this term, and he does not discuss hurūf al-mabānī (structural letters), which are fundamental in word construction according to traditional grammarians (Monhem Ibrahim, 2020; Salem et al., 2020; Żammit, 2021). This omission illustrates that, although he aims to reform grammar, in some cases, his approach is less systematic than that of classical scholars.

The integration of phonetics into grammatical studies was also practiced by early scholars. The difference lies in its placement: traditional scholars typically addressed phonetics after discussing syntax (*naḥw*) and morphology (*ṣarf*), whereas Shawqi places phonetics at the beginning, treating it as a prelude to *ṣarf* and *naḥw* (Farrugia, 2018; Hermas, 2018; Moghnem, 2020) While this reflects a more modern approach, the blending of these two methodologies results in a somewhat inconsistent structure.

When discussing fi'l muḍa ʿaf (doubled verbs), such as ṣadda and irtadda, Shawqi provides insufficient explanation regarding the processes of idghām (assimilation) and fakk al-idghām (disassimilation). He merely notes that these issues "require further review." Similarly, in addressing the formation of the fi'l muḍāri ʿ(imperfect verb) and its changes in i ʿrāb—whether in rafa ʿ, naṣb, or jazm—Shawqi largely follows the traditional grammatical model. He does not apply his own proposed approach, which involves classifying muḍāri ʿ verbs built on fatḥ as part of the manṣūb category, and those built on sukūn as part of the majzūm category. According to this framework, these forms could be classified simply as either manṣūb or majzūm.

Part Two: On the Classification of Nouns, Their Derivational Forms, and Types

In this section, Shawqi Daif explores the structure and classification of nouns (*ism*) from various perspectives: definiteness and indefiniteness (ta ' $r\bar{r}f$ wa $tank\bar{r}r$), masculinity and femininity ($tadhk\bar{r}r$ wa ta ' $n\bar{r}th$), phonetic soundness and irregularity ($tank\bar{r}r$), as well as singular, dual, and plural forms. He distinguishes between the $tank\bar{r}r$ 0 used in dual and plural noun forms and the $tank\bar{r}r$ 1 found in the five specific verb forms ($tank\bar{r}r$ 2 forms ($tank\bar{r}r$ 3), explaining that the former functions as a substitute for $tank\bar{r}r$ 3 found in singular nouns.

Shawqi Daif also discusses the difference between *ism dhāt* (concrete nouns) and *ism ma ʿnā* (abstract nouns), which then leads to a treatment of *maṣādir* (root nouns) and *mushtaqqāt* (derived forms), along with their types. Furthermore, he elaborates on the distinction between *i ʿrāb* (inflection) and *binā ʾ* (inflexibility), signs of *i ʿrāb*, and categories of nouns that are grammatically fixed (*mabnī*). He also discusses the distinction between *ism muḍāf* (construct noun) and non-*muḍāf*, including types of *iḍāfah* (genitive constructions). The section continues with a discussion on the concept of *tābi ʿwa matbū ʿ* (dependent and governing elements) and the various types of *tawābi ʿ* (syntactic followers), ending with discussions on *taṣghīr* (diminutive forms) and *nasab* (attribution).

However, the researcher notes that Shawqi Daif presents no real innovation in his discussion of <code>damīr</code> (pronouns), merely repeating the contents and terminology found in classical <code>naḥw</code> literature. One particular term criticized is <code>damīr</code> mustatir <code>jawāzan</code>

(optionally hidden pronoun), which is deemed inaccurate (Barrett, Trosky, & Tawadrous, 2018; Djediai, 2019; ParahitaAnandi & Zailaini, 2019) Literally, this term suggests that the pronoun may optionally be made explicit. In practice, however, if the pronoun appears overtly, it no longer functions as the syntactic subject $(f\bar{a}\,\hat{i}l)$, but rather serves as an emphatic element reinforcing the hidden $f\bar{a}\,\hat{i}l$. This is evident in the Qur'anic verse:

"فَإِن كَانَ ٱلَّذِي عَلَيْهِ ٱلْحَقُّ سَفِيهًا أَوْ ضَعِيفًا أَوْ لَا يَسْتَطِيعُ أَن يُمِلَّ هُوَ فَلْيُمْلِلْ وَلِيُّهُ بِٱلْعَدْلِ" (Surah al-Bagarah, 2:282)

In this context, the word *huwa* appears not as an independent *fāʿil* but as an emphatic marker. Therefore, the term *mustatir jawāzan* does not accurately capture its syntactic function, and an alternative term that better reflects its grammatical role is needed (Al-Jahwari & Abusham, 2019; Alghabban, Al-Dawsari, & Hendley, 2021; Terbeh, Trigui, Maraoui, & Zrigui, 2018).

The researcher also critiques Shawqi Daif's interpretation of the sentence *Khālid tiyābuhu nazīfah*, which he considers a case of *badal ishtimāl* (appositional substitution denoting inclusion), with the *mubdal minhu* being the *mubtada* (subject). The researcher argues that the sentence is better understood as a structure comprising a simple noun (*ism mufrad*, i.e., *Khālid*) followed by a nominal sentence (*jumlah ismiyyah*) functioning as the predicate (*khabar*), namely *tiyābuhu nazīfah* (Han & Oh, 2018; Xuan, Ismail, & Zailani, 2020) This interpretation aligns with classical *naḥw* literature. In fact, Shawqi Daif himself acknowledges this type of predicate construction when discussing various forms of *khabar*, which may include a single word (*mufrad*), a verbal sentence (*jumlah fi 'liyyah*), or a nominal sentence (*jumlah ismiyyah*), as in the example: *Zayd sha 'ruhu jayyid*.

Part Three: On Words in the Nominative Case (Marfūʿāt)

In this section, Shawqi Daif begins with a discussion on the mubtada' (subject) and khabar (predicate), followed by inna and its sisters (inna wa akhawātuhā), and the use of lā for the negation of genus (lā nāfiyah li al-jins). He proceeds to elaborate on the fā'il (active subject) and nā'ib al-fā'il (agent-substitute in the passive voice), largely adhering to the framework of classical naḥw scholars, albeit with some unique nuances in his explanations.

For instance, Shawqi Daif provides a more specific definition of mubtada' and khabar, and describes conditions under which the mubtada' may be indefinite (nakirah) or even a bound pronoun (damīr muttaṣil). He also addresses the agreement between mubtada' and khabar, the types of khabar, the possibility of multiple predicates, and the use of singular feminine predicates when referring to non-rational plural subjects. Additionally, he discusses the syntactic relationship between mubtada' and predicate clauses, the omission of either mubtada' or khabar, and cases where the predicate precedes the subject in word order.

Shawqi Daif further analyzes lām al-ibtidā' (the introductory emphatic lām), as well as cases where the hamzah in inna takes either kasrah or fatḥah. He examines the inclusion of the neutralizing particle mā al-kāffah in inna wa akhawātuhā, and the omission of the predicate in constructions with lā nāfiyah li al-jins. He also distinguishes between different functions of lā: one that negates a category (jins), another that negates individuals (shakhş), and one that occurs in paired (reduplicated) form (muthannā).

In discussing the fāʿil, Shawqi Daif considers its delayed placement following the verb, whether it is overt (zāhir) or in the form of a pronoun. He addresses combinations of pronouns and overt nouns as subjects, the agreement in gender between verb and subject, omission of the fāʿil, fāʿil expressed through full sentences, and cases where the fāʿil is

syntactically majrūr (genitive in form) though not in function (Al-Assaf, 2021). With this approach, Shawqi Daif remains grounded in traditional naḥw principles. Although in certain areas he attempts simplification or introduces alternative perspectives, these efforts do not yet represent a significant departure from the classical grammatical paradigm.

Part Four: On Words in the Accusative Case (Mansūbāt)

Shawqi Daif opens this section with a discussion on the mafʿūl bihi (direct object), incorporating examples from kāna wa akhawātuhā (kāna and its sisters), kāda wa akhawātuhā, zanna wa akhawātuhā, and aʿlama wa akhawātuhā. This discussion is interwoven with explanations of fiʿl lāzim (intransitive verbs) and fiʿl mutaʿaddī (transitive verbs). He also addresses the syntactic order of the fāʿil (subject) and mafʿūl bihi, the omission of the mafʿūl, instances where the mafʿūl appears in a genitive (majrūr) form though semantically accusative (manṣūb), and vice versa—where a seemingly accusative form logically requires the genitive case.

He then moves on to the mafʻūl muṭlaq (cognate accusative) and the various substitutes for it (nāʾib mafʿūl muṭlaq), followed by a comprehensive treatment of the mafʿūl fih (adverbial of time and place), in which he classifies zarf expressions into muʿrab (declinable) and mabnī (indeclinable) types. After this, he discusses the mafʿūl lahu (adverbial of purpose) and mafʿūl maʿah (accompaniment object).

Once the maf ul categories are covered, he turns to the chapter on istithna (exceptions), treating the tools of exception in line with classical grammatical works. He continues with a detailed and expansive discussion on hal (circumstantial qualifiers), providing a definition of hal based on his own formulation.

A clear innovation appears in Shawqi Daif's treatment of tamyīz (specification). He presents this topic in a newly structured and systematic form, even integrating into it various other chapters he had earlier suggested for removal (Alqadheeb, Asif, & Ahmad, 2021; Alshemali & Kalita, 2021). By organizing their examples within the framework of tamyīz, he demonstrates a reorganization of materials that reflects an effort at both simplification and conceptual consolidation (Daud, Wong, Ghani, & Ramli, 2021; Dussling, 2018; Nour & Abdallah, 2020). This section concludes with a concise discussion of al-nidā' (vocative expressions), which, although brief, remains consistent with the traditional methodology found in classical naḥw literature.

Part Five: Supplementary Topics in Arabic Grammar

In this final section, Shawqi Daif discusses supplementary topics that complement the foundational issues of grammar addressed in the previous chapters. He begins with an exposition of the three verb forms ($m\bar{a}q\bar{i}$ – past, $muq\bar{a}ri$ – present/future, and amr – imperative), along with the temporal meanings inherent in each form. He also explains the grammatical status of verbs with regard to i $r\bar{a}b$ (inflection) and $bin\bar{a}$ (morphological fixity), which leads him into a discussion on conditional sentences ($jumlah\ shartiyyah$) and the tools used to express conditionality.

Following the discussion on conditional structures, Daif turns to a series of classical topics, including al-'adad (numbers and numerals), al-mamnū' min al-ṣarf (diptotes—nouns that do not accept tanwīn and cannot take the genitive kasrah ending), and the grammatical governance ('amal) of verbal nouns (maṣdar) and other derived forms (mushtaqāt) that function similarly to verbs. He concludes this section with an overview of ḥurūf al-ziyādah (augmentative letters in morphological derivation).

Daif's explanation of conditional sentence structure is concise and effective.

However, he omits discussion of an important syntactic pattern wherein an explicit noun (ism zāhir) precedes the verb in a conditional sentence, as exemplified in the Qur'anic verse Surat al-Tawbah (9:6): "Wa in aḥadun mina al-mushrikīn istajāraka fa-ajirhu ..." ("And if any one of the polytheists seeks your protection, then grant it to him..."). Classical grammarians differ on how to interpret the syntactic role of this preposed noun—some regard it as a subject (fā 'il) placed before its verb, while others argue that it is the subject of a deleted verb, with the subsequent verb clause clarifying the meaning. In this context, the researcher raises a question: Why is the noun not interpreted as a mubtada ' (subject of a nominal sentence), with the verb and its pronoun subject (damīr) functioning as the khabar (predicate)? This invites Shawqi Daif to further explore such syntactic phenomena and to offer his own opinion, in line with the reformist approach to Arabic grammar that he advocates.

Furthermore, Daif offers an intriguing interpretation concerning the use of the particles *inna* and *law* in expressions such as: "If 'al al-khayr wa in lam yuqaddar laka" ("Do good even if it is not destined for you") and "Aḥibb al-nās wa law ādhawka" ("Love people even if they hurt you"). According to him, in such contexts, *inna* and *law* no longer function as tools of conditionality, but rather act as coordinating particles (ḥurūf rabṭ) that do not necessitate an apodosis (consequent clause) (El-Dakhs, Rahman, Muhammad, & Amroun, 2019; Maraoui, Terbeh, & Zrigui, 2018; Zaghouani et al., 2020). This is a valuable observation that enriches our understanding of functional shifts in the usage of particles within actual language practice.

Part Six: Diverse Additions to the Study of Arabic Grammar

This section is a specialized segment that includes various additions deemed by Shawqi Daif as essential components to be incorporated into the study of Arabic grammar, in accordance with his reformist approach. These additions cover the topic of mention and omission of sentence elements (al-dhikr wa al-hadhf), such as the omission of the mubtada (subject of a nominal sentence) and khabar (predicate), the omission of the fā il (subject of a verbal sentence) either along with its verb or separately, the omission of the maf \bar{u} bih (direct object), the omission of the verb \bar{k} along with its subject, the omission of the \bar{t} the \bar{t} (possessive complement), as well as the omission of the response to a conditional clause or an oath, where the missing part is understood from contextual cues.

Daif then addresses the syntactic phenomenon of the reordering of sentence components (taqdīm wa ta khīr), such as placing the predicate before the subject (khabar before mubtada), or placing the direct object before the verb and subject. This discussion reflects his attempt to present a more flexible and dynamic sentence structure in Arabic, one that aligns more closely with natural linguistic usage and communicative practices.

Another significant topic covered in this section is the classification of sentence types based on their syntactic function. In this regard, Shawqi Daif introduces a classification system that diverges from traditional models. He divides sentences into two major categories: independent sentences (*jumal mustaqillah*) and subordinate sentences (*jumal khāqiʿah*). Independent sentences include *istinafiyyah* sentences that initiate a new discourse, *ḥiwāriyyah* sentences used in dialogue, *iʿtirādiyyah* sentences that serve as insertions or interjections, *tafsīriyyah* sentences that provide explanation, and sentences coordinated (*maʿṭūfah*) with any of these types (de Luca, 2021; Evans & Alshangiti, 2018). Meanwhile, subordinate sentences include those functioning as predicates (*khabar*), subjects (*fāʿil* or *nāʾib fāʿil*), direct objects (*mafʿūl bih*), adverbials of circumstance (*ḥāl*),

modifiers (*tābi* '), relative clauses (*ṣilah*), genitive complements (*muḍāf ilayh*), as well as responses to conditions and oaths (Akhtar et al., 2020; Al-Khresheh, Khaerurrozikin, & Zaid, 2020; Zoghbor, 2018).

This new classification reflects a clear methodological innovation in Shawqi Daif's approach to Arabic grammar. Rather than simply reproducing the inherited system of classical grammarians, he seeks to reorganize the structures and terminologies of grammar to be more systematic, communicative, and aligned with the needs of contemporary Arabic language instruction and learning.

الخلاصة / CONCLUSION

Based on an in-depth analysis of *Tajdīd an-Naḥw* by Shawqi Daif, it can be concluded that his proposed grammatical reform is founded upon six principal methodologies that reflect a renewed approach to the structuring and presentation of Arabic grammar. These principles include: the systematic reorganization of grammatical chapters to reduce redundancy; the elimination of estimated (*taqdīrī*) and locative (*maḥallī*) i'rāb forms; the disregard of i'rāb aspects that do not contribute to semantic clarity or phonetic precision; the establishment of more precise definitions and rules for key grammatical concepts; the removal of discussions deemed irrelevant; and the inclusion of essential elements that reflect the actual syntactic constructions in Arabic discourse.

To implement these principles, Shawqi Daif divides his work into six main parts. The first part addresses phonology, types of verbs and their morphological patterns (taṣrīf), and categories of particles, although it exhibits a shortcoming in not treating phonology as a separate subject. The second part offers a detailed discussion of types of nouns ($asm\bar{a}$), their forms, and the concepts of i'rāb and binā', including categories of derived nouns (mushtaggāt) and verbal nouns (maṣādir). The third part focuses on al-marf \bar{u} $\bar{a}t$ —such as the subject (mubtada') and predicate (khabar), the sisters of inna, and both the subject $(f\bar{a}\,\dot{i}l)$ and the agent substitute $(n\bar{a}\,\dot{i}b\,f\bar{a}\,\dot{i}l)$ —presented with attention to comprehensive syntactic dimensions. The fourth part is dedicated to al-manşūbāt, beginning with the direct object (maf'ūl bih), followed by the absolute object (maf'ūl mutlag), adverbial of time/place (maf'ūl fīh), object of purpose (maf'ūl lahu), the object of accompaniment (maf'ūl ma'ah), and further discussions on exception (istisnā'), circumstantial adverb $(h\bar{a}l)$, specification ($tamy\bar{z}$), and vocative ($nid\bar{a}$). The fifth part serves as a supplement to the previous sections, covering the use of verb forms, conditional sentence structures, numerals, man 'al-ṣarf, the grammatical action ('amal) of maṣādir and mushtaqqāt, and an exploration of augmentative letters (hurūf al-ziyādah). The sixth part presents various significant additions not previously systematized in classical grammatical works, such as the phenomena of ellipsis (al-hadhf) and word order variation (taqdīm wa ta khīr), along with a new syntactic classification of sentence types, categorized into jumal mustagillah (independent clauses) and jumal khāḍi ʿah (subordinate clauses).

Through this structure and innovative approach, Shawqi Daif not only simplifies but also deeply restructures Arabic grammar content. He does not merely replicate the classical tradition but offers a new, more logical, functional, and communicative system, all while preserving the foundational principles laid down by earlier grammarians. His reform efforts provide a new direction for the development of Arabic grammar studies, making them more applicable and contextually relevant for modern education.

المراجع / REFERENCES

- Abdellah, A., & Haridy, A. (2017). Medieval Muslim Thinkers on Foreign Language Pedagogy: The Case of Ibn Khaldun. *Lingua*, 193, 62–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2017.05.001
- Akhtar, S., Hussain, F., Raja, F. R., Ehatisham-Ul-haq, M., Baloch, N. K., Ishmanov, F., & Zikria, Y. Bin. (2020). Improving Mispronunciation Detection of Arabic Words for Non-Native Learners Using Deep Convolutional Neural Network Features. *Electronics* (Switzerland), 9(6), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics9060963
- Al-Assaf, D. M. d. (2021). Challenges of Distance Learning in language Classes: Based on the Experience of Distance Teaching of Arabic to Non-Native Speakers in Light of the Coronavirus Pandemic. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 12(3), 444–451. https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1203.15
- Al-Jahwari, H. S., & Abusham, E. E. (2019). A Theoretical Framework for Designing Educational Website Based on scientific Standards To Non-Native Arabic speakers. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 11. https://doi.org/10.1177/1847979019840500
- Al-Khresheh, M. H., Khaerurrozikin, A., & Zaid, A. H. (2020). The Efficiency of Using Pictures in Teaching Speaking Skills of Non-Native Arabic Beginner Students. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(3), 872–878. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080318
- Alghabban, W. G., Al-Dawsari, H. M., & Hendley, R. (2021). Understanding the Impact on Learners' Reading Performance and Behaviour of Matching E-Learning Material to Dyslexia Type and Reading Skill Level. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), pp. 135–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77414-1_11
- Alqadheeb, F., Asif, A., & Ahmad, H. F. (2021). Correct Pronunciation Detection for Classical Arabic Phonemes Using Deep Learning. 2021 International Conference of Women in Data Science at Taif University, WiDSTaif 2021. https://doi.org/10.1109/WIDSTAIF52235.2021.9430236
- Alshemali, B., & Kalita, J. (2021). Character-level Adversarial Examples in Arabic.

 *Proceedings 20th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications, ICMLA 2021, pp. 9–14. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMLA52953.2021.00010
- Alver, M. (2019). A Classification Study over Loan Words Used in the Fields of Turkish Literature, Grammar, Linguistics and Pedagogy. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 7(4), 1048–1053. https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2019.070416
- Arikunto, S. (2002). Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Barrett, T., Trosky, A., & Tawadrous, A. (2018). Transforming Cultural Conflict in the Foreign

- Language Classroom: the Case of Arabic at Defense Language Institute. *Pedagogy, Culture and Society*, Vol. 26, pp. 123–139. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2017.1363809
- Daud, W. A. A. B. W., Wong, K. T., Ghani, M. T. A., & Ramli, S. B. (2021). Gender differences in Learning Arabic Language Proficiency via M-learning among Malaysia University Students. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *17*, 1069–1082. https://doi.org/10.17263/jlls.904123
- de Luca, R. (2021). The Engagement of Nineteenth-Century Scholars with Jirmānūs Farhāt's bahth al-Matālib: An Early Modern Textbook for Ottoman schools. *Philological Encounters*, 6(3–4), 470–503. https://doi.org/10.1163/24519197-bja10024
- Djediai, A. (2019). The Lexico-Grammatical Competence of Gender in Learners of FFL: Problematic of Idiomatic Competence. *Synergies Algerie*, (27), 33–54. Retrieved from https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85091233 888&origin=inward
- Dussling, T. M. (2018). Examining the Effectiveness of a Supplemental Reading Intervention on the Early Literacy Skills of English Language Learners. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, *57*(3), 276–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/19388071.2018.1453898
- El-Dakhs, D. A. S., Rahman, M., Muhammad, M., & Amroun, F. (2019). The SAUDI EFL Learners' Complaint Behavior: A study on Interlanguage Pragmatics. *Asian EFL Journal*, 24(4), 295–324. Retrieved from https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85068598 559&origin=inward
- Evans, B. G., & Alshangiti, W. (2018). The Perception and Production of British English Vowels and Consonants by Arabic Learners of English. *Journal of Phonetics*, 68, 15–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2018.01.002
- Farrugia, G. (2018). Grammatical Gender in Maltese. In *Grammatical Gender in Maltese*. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110612400
- Han, J. I., & Oh, S. (2018). The Role of Phonetic Similarity and Orthographic Information in Asymmetrical Lexical Encoding in Second Language. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 47(5), 1015–1033. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-018-9574-7
- Hardie, A., & Ibrahim, W. (2021). Exploring and Categorising the Arabic Copula and Auxiliary kāna through Enhanced Part-Of-Speech Tagging. *Corpora*, *16*(3), 305–335. https://doi.org/10.3366/cor.2021.0225
- Hasan, M. I. (2002). Pokok-Pokok Materi Metodologi Penelitian. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Haskel-Shaham, I., Klaus, A., & Tamir, R. (2018). Discourse-yes, Grammar-no: Influence of Arabic Mother tongue on Arab Students Writing in Hebrew. *L1 Educational Studies in Language and Literature*, *18*, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.17239/L1ESLL-2018.18.01.07

- Hermas, A. (2018). Discourse-linking in advanced L3 English: Testing the Interface Hypothesis. *Lingua*, 212, 20–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2018.05.004
- Hermas, A. (2019). Mass generics in l3 english: Acquisition Route and Transfer Recovery. *International Journal of Language Studies*, 13(2), 51–68. Retrieved from https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85067873 176&origin=inward
- Hermas, A. (2020). Genericity in Third Language English: Acquisition Pattern and Transfer in Ultimate Attainment. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 24(2), 266–288. https://doi.org/10.1177/1367006919826865
- Kemparaj, U., & Chavan, S. (2013). Qualitative Research: A Brief Description. *Indian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 67(3), 89–98. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5359.121127
- Madi, N., & Al-Khalifa, H. S. (2018). A Proposed Arabic Grammatical Error Detection Tool Based on Deep Learning. *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 142, pp. 352–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.10.482
- Maraoui, M., Terbeh, N., & Zrigui, M. (2018). Arabic Discourse Analysis Based on Acoustic, Prosodic and Phonetic Modeling: Elocution Evaluation, Speech Classification and Pathological Speech Correction. *International Journal of Speech Technology*, 21(4), 1071–1090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10772-018-09566-6
- Moghnem, J. A. A. (2020). Arabic Language Learners from other Languages in the (Middlebury Program Jordan) Errors in the Use of the Ten Arabic Verb Forms: A Descriptive and Analytical Study in the Light of Error Analysis. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, 47(4), 134–150.. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85180357 294&origin=inward
- Monhem Ibrahim, A. A. (2020). Designing Visual Materials for Self-Learning for Non-Arabic Speaking Learners at Level a1 According to the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (Cefr). *RomanoArabica*, 20, 191–202. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85112152 822&origin=inward
- Nour, A. G. M., & Abdallah, A. Q. (2020). Perceptions of Clichés by Arab English Bilinguals: Implications to Academic Writing. *Linguistics Journal*, *14*(2), 134–156. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85103084 010&origin=inward
- ParahitaAnandi, R., & Zailaini, M. A. (2019). Using Rasch Model to Assess Self-Assessment Speaking Skill Rubric for Non-Native Arabic Language Speakers. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 27(3), 1469–1480. Retrieved from https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85072240 328&origin=inward
- Rajab, B. A. (2020). Assessing the Impact of Morphological Knowledge on Lexical Acquisition and Processing. *Education Research International*, 2020.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1561470

- Salem, E. M., Alhamad, H. A. M., Ewida, S. A. M., Ibrahim, S. F., Ibrahim, S. F., & Baker El-Ebiary, Y. A. (2020). The Difficulties of the Rhetorical Lesson of Non-Arabic Speakers and Its Technological Solution (Translation from Arabic to Malay as a model). International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, (1), 135–141. https://doi.org/10.14445/22315381/CATI3P222
- Shehata, A. (2018). Native English Speakers, Perception and Production of Arabic Consonants. *Routledge Handbook of Arabic Second Language Acquisition*, pp. 56–69. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315674261
- Sukardi. (2003). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan; Kompetensi dan Praktiknya*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Terbeh, N., Trigui, A., Maraoui, M., & Zrigui, M. (2018). Correction of Pathological Speeches and Assistance to Learners with Vocal Disabilities. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 77(14), 17779–17802. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-017-5447-6
- Wahba, K. M., England, L., & Taha, Z. A. (2017). Handbook for Arabic Language Teaching Professionals in the 21st Century. Handbook for Arabic Language Teaching Professionals in the 21st Century, Vol. 2, pp. 1–433. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315676111
- Xuan, D., Ismail, W. M., & Zailani, M. A. (2020). Non-native Arabic Learners' Social Media Usage and Motivation Influencing Learning of Arabic Language in Malaysian Public Universities. *International Journal of Language Education*, *4*(2), 258–275. https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v4i2.13980
- Zaghouani, W., Habash, N., Bouamor, H., Rozovskaya, A., Mohit, B., Heider, A., & Oflazer, K. (2020). Correction Annotation for non-Native Arabic Texts: Guidelines and Corpus. LAW 2015 9th Linguistic Annotation Workshop, Held in Conjuncion with NAACL 2015 Proceedings of the Workshop, pp. 129–139. https://doi.org/10.3115/v1/w15-1614
- Żammit, J. (2021). Implementing Art and Music in Maltese Courses for Non-Native Adults. IAFOR Journal of Education, 9(3), 57–75. https://doi.org/10.22492/ije.9.3.04
- Zoghbor, W. S. (2018). Teaching English Pronunciation to Multi-Dialect First Language Learners: The Revival of the Lingua Franca Core (LFC). *System*, 78, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2018.06.008